




FW: Hayward districts

1 message

Districing <Districing@hayward-ca.gov>
To: HaywardDistricing <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

Wed, May 15, 2024 at 3:56 PM

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

From: Rebecca Parr 
Sent: Wednesday, May 15, 2024 3:56:28 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Districing <Districing@hayward-ca.gov>
Subject: Hayward districts

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Regarding Hayward's shift to district elections, I urge that the Jackson Triangle NOT be lumped with South Hayward, as city officials have done in the past.

The Jackson Triangle is more closely aligned with downtown Hayward than with South Hayward. For example, the Main Library is closer to Jackson Triangle residents than the Weekes branch library. Jackson Triangle residents use Hayward BART station, which is closer to Jackson Triangle than South Hayward BART. Jackson Triangle students attend Hayward High School, not Tennyson or Mt. Eden high schools. Etc., etc.

Sincerely,

Ms. Parr.

I rarely if ever frequent businesses in South Hayward

Lilia Corral

From: Peggy Guernsey [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, May 17, 2024 9:31 AM
To: Miriam Lens
Subject: Re: Special mtg 5/14

CAUTION: This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

No I was listening, waiting my turn, to about 5 people he called up, a couple were online, but oh well. no miss.

My neighborhood is quiet, only 2 shootings in 53 years!

I wanted to say it should be against the law that this att. can force me to not be able to vote for candidates that make decisions for the entire city. How can one person force the city to change and make money from it? Well I guess if 3 Councilmembers can suggest a 65.1% raise for themselves, without the public stopping it, then anything is possible.

My neighborhood is not friendly. We do not share picnics or BBQs. They shoot up fireworks and bullets. The Mayor and Councilmembers do not come to help translate for me when the neighbors break the law or harass the disabled. The Mayor says he and the other Councilmembers came to all the precincts but he nor they do not return to help.

The HPD wants my daughter to change her disability because the neighbor adults won't stop harassing her. She has tried to inform new neighbors that parking by fire hydrant is illegal. They don't care and move our garbage cans when she puts them out per her ability.

The neighbors block parking for my caregivers because the HPD REFUSES to paint a blue curb, "because they can't enforce it" per HPD. So my Paratransit buses, The Hayward HOP and medical transports and caregivers must park in the middle of the street to pick me up. This is unfair.

There is NO WAY this neighborhood will join together to vote for one person to represent us. I used to see a pretty good turnout because the local church volunteers their rooms and parking lot for easy and disabled access.

An Asian was elected by the Asian mobile home parks associations. Then she left. No one else has come forward as far as I know. I do not elect my representatives by race or heritage. I elect them for what they want to see Hayward become.

We have a terrible racist history and some continue it with bigotry and harassment.

The Hayward white community pushed the Blacks and Puerto Rican peoples beyond the railroad tracks. The returning Japanese were pushed beyond Tennyson. The Spanish and Portuguese peoples were called white. We still have rich and poor and non english speakers. At one time HUSD stated they

had 22 different home languages. They still have separate ethnic groups. The PTA attempted to print the school notices in several languages to help unite parents in the education of their children. HUSD said NO! So Hay is only an attempt at making South Hayward sound classy but it isn't. It is treated like not a part of Hayward. Downtown signage does nothing for the area. Separate elected officials only STOPS citizens from knowing everyone and puts us in areas in little boxes.

If we cannot elect candidates that we feel are caring and willing to change no matter where they live then we are not a city together, we are little areas. Boxed in by lines.

KEEP HAYWARD OPEN DO NOT DIVIDE US. CAN I SUE THIS ATTORNEY For MESSING WITH MY CITY? ANYONE WITH ME?

Peggy Guernsey

On Wed, May 15, 2024 at 1:02 PM Miriam Lens [REDACTED] wrote:

Good afternoon, Peggy:

I think the Mayor saw your hand after he had closed public comment. Would you like to send a message to Council and to districting@hayward-ca.gov ? I will be happy to forward the message.

Thanks for joining the meeting.

Miriam

Miriam Lens

City Clerk

City of Hayward | 777 B Street | Hayward, CA 94541
[REDACTED]

Website: <https://www.hayward-ca.gov/>





FW: My SHP files for two alternatives: Hayward City Council

1 message

Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>

To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.



0, 2024 3:50:33 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)


To: Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>

Subject: My SHP files for two alternatives: Hayward City Council

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Hello Hayward Districting Consultant!

Attached is a zip file containing the Hayward block level GIS files (shx, shp, dbf, qix, prj.) These are my first two council boundary submissions. I created these in QGIS in early May, in anticipa

I've checked out the redistricting map website. It looks a lot easier / cleaner than using QGIS!!! I've registered on there, a 

I've also attached maps of my first two alternatives. Maybe let's name them "Atherton #1" and "Atherton #2" after my home street?

Four variables are relevant in my GIS files:

"hayward" - - which refers to the 18 neighborhoods (16 created by the City Council, and adding in Eden Landing and Downtown Hayward as neighborhoods.)

council1 = Alternative Atherton #1. This is a simple aggregation of the 18 Hayward neighborhoods, no adjustment.

council2 = Alternative Atherton #2. This is my attempt at equalizing the six districts.

GEOID = the detailed Census geographic ID, for linking to whatever master file you have. (state+county+tract+block).

The PL 94-171 data in my files are the original PL 94-171, not the adjusted populations. Thanks for providing the reference to these adjusted PL 94-171 files in your Excel workbook!!

Somewhere in my process I lost two blocks: there should be 1,478 and I have only 1,476. But my population totals match the Census PL 94171 values, so my "missing blocks" are apparently

Could you upload these two alternatives as my submission? I'd like to add some written discussions about the strengths and weaknesses of each.

I think adding the 18 Hayward neighborhoods as a display option in the online mapping tool might be beneficial.


Thanks for your presentation on May 14th, and I'm looking forward to more work on this.

Chuck Purvis




#####

Hayward Council Districts - Alternative 1
Census Bureau PL 94-171 for 2020: Block level



Created: Chuck Purvis; Data sources: 2020 Census, PL 94-171

Hayward Council Districts - Alternative 2
Census Bureau PL 94-171 for 2020: Block level



Created: Chuck Purvis; Data sources: 2020 Census, PL 94-171




FW: Map submission

1 message

Districing <Districing@hayward-ca.gov>
To: HaywardDistricing <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

Tue, May 21, 2024 at 7:00 AM

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

From: Dave Robinson 
Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2024 7:00:09 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Districing <Districing@hayward-ca.gov>
Subject: Map submission

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Dear City of Hayward staff and consultants:

I am submitting a set of proposed districts for the city council using the Excel spreadsheet method. My spreadsheet is attached. Most notably, it has 7 districts, despite the instructions to create 6 districts. The instructions imply that the city intends to retain a mayor elected at large. I see several problems with this.

First, from the two options described in Government Code 34886, the city council's resolution 24-011 chose "a district-based election system" and not elections "by district with an elective mayor".

Second, the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA) defines only the pure district system as a "safe harbor" remedy that limits legal fees and shields a city from future lawsuits. Elections Code 14026 categorizes an electoral method as "at large" and within the crosshairs of the CVRA if any member of a governing body is elected at large. Is the mayor part of the governing body? Yes, Hayward city charter V.500 and Government Code 34903 define the mayor as a city council member. The "safe harbor" procedures defined in Elections Code 10010 rely on the definition in 14026.

Third, having 7 districts instead of 6 can increase the likelihood that CVRA protected groups can elect a candidate of their choice. So a future CVRA lawsuit could be successful, causing the city to incur additional legal and consulting fees, and causing the current process to be a waste of time.

If you think any aspect of this argument is incorrect, please let me know. With a 7-district council, the mayor would be selected by the council from among its members, as many other California cities do.

To prepare this map, I followed all other instructions in Karin Mac Donald's presentation from the May 14 public hearing. The proposed districts are about as equal in population as can be achieved using the 123 available segments while keeping them contiguous. I did not use the spreadsheet's race/ethnicity data in forming the districts, which is apparently the correct approach under the Federal Voting Rights Act as described on slide 8 of the presentation. I did not give special consideration to the interests of the plaintiff, which we are not instructed to do by either the presentation or the CVRA. The districts are compact, being free of tentacles other than those already created by the city's outer boundary.

Regarding the communities of interest criterion, I consider this a hopeless task. Overall, if electoral outcomes are highly sensitive to how lines are drawn, there is something wrong with our electoral method. Communities rarely arrange themselves into compact, contiguous, non-overlapping regions. The best way to accommodate all communities of interest is to adopt proportional ranked-choice voting (PRCV) as an alternative CVRA remedy. This method allows communities to group themselves, without the help or interference of drawers of district lines.

PRCV is much less complicated and subjective than district line drawing. Voters make a ranked list of candidates from anywhere in the city in order of preference. If a candidate wins more than a council seat's worth of votes, an appropriate fraction of those votes goes to the next choices on voters' lists. Last-place candidates are sequentially eliminated, with votes going to next choices.

If a community of interest is dispersed throughout the city, its voters can still elect the candidate of their choice. For a PRCV-elected council, nearly all voters win accountable and satisfactory representation. This is achievable because PRCV does not pit voters against each other within districts, unnecessarily creating winners and losers among voters. PRCV does not allow the same group of voters to elect all of the candidates, as in the usual at-large method.

In our county, Albany has begun using proportional ranked-choice voting as a court-recognized CVRA remedy, and 3 other cities used ranked-choice voting within single-seat districts. After all maps are drawn, I expect that no map will be entirely satisfying to all, and the benefits of PRCV will be clearer to everyone.

Until then, we must go through the exercise of drawing maps. I have some questions about next steps:

1. Is it easy for you or me to import the Excel-drawn districts into the online mapping tool? This would allow easier visualization of maps, and allow us to make further edits using the smaller segments in that tool to obtain more equal populations.
2. Could the demographer prepare a map showing an overlay of all other district lines that bisect Hayward, such as assembly, supervisor, BART board, and park districts? This would provide valuable context for comparison to proposed district maps, and help us avoid creating tiny slices along crisscrossing district lines requiring the Registrar of Voters to print many new permutations of ballot cards.

The spreadsheet file that I downloaded on May 19 had errors in the SUMIF formulas in row 15, columns E through O of tab 2. To reduce file size, I deleted the map tabs in my submitted file, but they correspond to those in the file I downloaded on May 19.

Thank you for providing the mapping tools to the public, and for consideration of my map.

Dave Robinson

 **HCC_DistrictingProposal_Robinson_7Dist_240519.xlsx**
43K

Hayward City Council Districting Tool

Name:	Dave Robinson
Org or Group:	Hayward
Tell Us About Your Plan:	7-district plan for a purely by-district council and not "one that combines at-large elections with district-based elections" and is subject to future CVRA claims (Elections Code 14026). More details are explained in a cover letter.

District Tabulator													
(Do not type anywhere on this table; Do not sort columns)													
District	Population (2020 Census)		Citizen Voting Age Population (DOJ Special Tab 2018-2022)										
	Population	Dev %	Total	Latino	% Latino	NL Black	% NL Black	NL Asian	% NL Asian	NL HPI	% NL HPI	NL White	% NL White
USD	163,172		102,820	32,423	31.5%	12,608	12.3%	31,868	31.0%	2,365	2.3%	21,470	20.9%
Unassigned	70,055		38,788	14,015	36.1%	5,658	14.6%	9,755	25.1%	850	2.2%	7,742	20.0%
1	23,266	-0.19%	16,591	4,792	28.9%	1,504	9.1%	7,266	43.8%	251	1.5%	2,367	14.3%
2	22,862	-1.92%	13,661	5,142	37.6%	1,295	9.5%	4,310	31.5%	479	3.5%	2,164	15.8%
3	23,932	2.67%	16,803	4,809	28.6%	1,317	7.8%	6,653	39.6%	511	3.0%	3,212	19.1%
4	23,418	0.46%	12,715	4,332	34.1%	1,565	12.3%	3,788	29.8%	313	2.5%	2,514	19.8%
5	23,336	0.11%	12,058	5,216	43.3%	1,303	10.8%	2,917	24.2%	365	3.0%	1,909	15.8%
6	23,301	-0.04%	14,015	4,467	31.9%	2,790	19.9%	3,050	21.8%	172	1.2%	3,319	23.7%
7	23,057	-1.09%	16,977	3,665	21.6%	2,834	16.7%	3,884	22.9%	274	1.6%	5,985	35.3%
Ideal Pop:	23,310.29												
<i>Lowest District Dev %:</i>	-1.9%												
<i>Highest District Dev %:</i>	2.7%												
Total Plan Range	4.59%		<~~~~ A plan range up to 10% is considered legally allowable.										

Segment List	
(Only type District numbers 1-7 in the "Dist #" column; Do not type anywhere else; Do not sort columns)	

Hayward City Council Districting Tool

		Population (2020 Census)		Citizen Voting Age Population (DOJ Special Tab 2018-2022)										
Dist #	Segment	Population		Total	Latino	% Latino	NL Black	% NL Black	NL Asian	% NL Asian	NL HPI	% NL HPI	NL White	% NL White
1	001	3,404		3,076	935	30.4%	200	6.5%	1,561	50.7%	60	2.0%	315	10.2%
1	002	1,758		1,176	275	23.4%	85	7.2%	621	52.8%	25	2.1%	170	14.5%
1	003	1,733		1,358	381	28.1%	136	10.0%	705	51.9%	55	4.1%	60	4.4%
1	004	1,752		1,499	736	49.1%	192	12.8%	510	34.0%	-	0.0%	36	2.4%
1	005	1,542		691	180	26.0%	26	3.8%	264	38.2%	15	2.2%	185	26.8%
1	006	373		250	107	42.8%	7	2.8%	73	29.2%	-	0.0%	56	22.4%
1	007	897		597	314	52.6%	39	6.5%	117	19.6%	-	0.0%	129	21.6%
1	008	1,378		940	330	35.1%	25	2.7%	110	11.7%	55	5.9%	255	27.1%
1	009	1,821		1,220	485	39.8%	174	14.3%	301	24.7%	-	0.0%	201	16.5%
1	010	854		975	380	39.0%	70	7.2%	415	42.6%	20	2.1%	90	9.2%
1	011	864		576	240	41.7%	26	4.5%	214	37.2%	-	0.0%	94	16.3%
1	012	1,239		735	18	2.4%	93	12.7%	560	76.2%	-	0.0%	37	5.0%
1	013	1,005		596	7	1.2%	48	8.1%	525	88.1%	-	0.0%	33	5.5%
1	014	1,539		942	105	11.1%	96	10.2%	500	53.1%	-	0.0%	140	14.9%
1	015	65		28	-	0.0%	12	42.9%	1	3.6%	-	0.0%	7	25.0%
1	016	74		32	2	6.3%	37	115.6%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	9	28.1%
1	017	504		221	2	0.9%	26	11.8%	44	19.9%	-	0.0%	145	65.6%
2	018	2,304		1,129	467	41.4%	164	14.5%	266	23.6%	1	0.1%	216	19.1%
2	019	2,784		825	467	56.6%	11	1.3%	140	17.0%	80	9.7%	127	15.4%
2	020	1,129		676	175	25.9%	11	1.6%	325	48.1%	-	0.0%	135	20.0%
2	021	922		450	130	28.9%	20	4.4%	70	15.6%	15	3.3%	210	46.7%
2	022	1,442		1,190	420	35.3%	130	10.9%	310	26.1%	20	1.7%	300	25.2%
2	023	366		206	106	51.5%	7	3.4%	62	30.1%	-	0.0%	31	15.0%
2	024	1,118		631	185	29.3%	53	8.4%	233	36.9%	4	0.6%	149	23.6%
2	025	802		570	105	18.4%	25	4.4%	310	54.4%	15	2.6%	115	20.2%
2	026	916		767	270	35.2%	157	20.5%	210	27.4%	15	2.0%	110	14.3%
2	027	1,364		676	171	25.3%	74	10.9%	214	31.7%	38	5.6%	132	19.5%
2	028	1,083		791	254	32.1%	128	16.2%	231	29.2%	27	3.4%	108	13.7%
2	029	1,502		1,064	260	24.4%	133	12.5%	516	48.5%	11	1.0%	135	12.7%

Hayward City Council Districting Tool

2	030	1,231	935	268	28.7%	16	1.7%	595	63.6%	4	0.4%	56	6.0%
2	031	1,140	664	307	46.2%	98	14.8%	198	29.8%	33	5.0%	15	2.3%
1	032	1,556	1,127	226	20.1%	176	15.6%	539	47.8%	2	0.2%	198	17.6%
1	033	908	552	69	12.5%	36	6.5%	206	37.3%	19	3.4%	207	37.5%
2	034	3,232	1,974	966	48.9%	125	6.3%	457	23.2%	155	7.9%	226	11.4%
2	035	1,527	1,113	591	53.1%	143	12.8%	173	15.5%	61	5.5%	99	8.9%
3	036	1,726	1,260	109	8.7%	33	2.6%	675	53.6%	-	0.0%	475	37.7%
3	037	175	126	16	12.7%	18	14.3%	10	7.9%	-	0.0%	50	39.7%
3	038	1,142	859	273	31.8%	23	2.7%	236	27.5%	97	11.3%	281	32.7%
3	039	1,610	1,206	312	25.9%	62	5.1%	589	48.8%	63	5.2%	69	5.7%
3	040	1,275	905	297	32.8%	72	8.0%	252	27.8%	30	3.3%	112	12.4%
3	041	1,611	1,131	274	24.2%	73	6.5%	462	40.8%	87	7.7%	179	15.8%
3	042	1,459	1,010	239	23.7%	98	9.7%	467	46.2%	28	2.8%	170	16.8%
3	043	1,157	1,063	532	50.0%	45	4.2%	385	36.2%	25	2.4%	65	6.1%
3	044	1,871	1,010	195	19.3%	35	3.5%	365	36.1%	130	12.9%	280	27.7%
3	045	1,731	944	511	54.1%	92	9.7%	225	23.8%	-	0.0%	120	12.7%
3	046	1,805	2,051	285	13.9%	185	9.0%	1,475	71.9%	-	0.0%	96	4.7%
3	047	1,134	645	125	19.4%	10	1.6%	315	48.8%	4	0.6%	190	29.5%
3	048	1,015	567	236	41.6%	91	16.0%	90	15.9%	-	0.0%	125	22.0%
3	049	1,217	894	306	34.2%	148	16.6%	290	32.4%	24	2.7%	137	15.3%
3	050	1,376	431	211	49.0%	9	2.1%	110	25.5%	7	1.6%	83	19.3%
3	051	1,270	977	575	58.9%	51	5.2%	105	10.7%	10	1.0%	215	22.0%
3	052	2,358	1,724	313	18.2%	272	15.8%	602	34.9%	6	0.3%	565	32.8%
4	053	873	426	105	24.6%	21	4.9%	50	11.7%	-	0.0%	250	58.7%
4	054	2,693	1,864	193	10.4%	269	14.4%	1,205	64.6%	14	0.8%	199	10.7%
7	055	753	479	161	33.6%	59	12.3%	117	24.4%	71	14.8%	75	15.7%
4	056	607	384	188	49.0%	23	6.0%	88	22.9%	35	9.1%	23	6.0%
4	057	1,123	443	152	34.3%	49	11.1%	90	20.3%	20	4.5%	135	30.5%
4	058	1,173	708	417	58.9%	135	19.1%	50	7.1%	20	2.8%	85	12.0%
4	059	791	469	47	10.0%	44	9.4%	273	58.2%	9	1.9%	94	20.0%
4	060	1,337	796	188	23.6%	58	7.3%	327	41.1%	11	1.4%	190	23.9%
4	061	1,507	1,446	545	37.7%	150	10.4%	310	21.4%	45	3.1%	401	27.7%
4	062	2,185	1,058	337	31.9%	111	10.5%	250	23.6%	60	5.7%	285	26.9%

Hayward City Council Districting Tool

4	063	857	291	80	27.5%	103	35.4%	55	18.9%	-	0.0%	41	14.1%
4	064	1,714	297	96	32.3%	-	0.0%	35	11.8%	25	8.4%	121	40.7%
4	065	1,125	418	326	78.0%	76	18.2%	15	3.6%	-	0.0%	1	0.2%
4	066	805	456	342	75.0%	1	0.2%	14	3.1%	-	0.0%	33	7.2%
4	067	944	290	91	31.4%	154	53.1%	40	13.8%	10	3.4%	-	0.0%
4	068	768	432	365	84.5%	-	0.0%	11	2.5%	-	0.0%	7	1.6%
4	069	1,088	492	100	20.3%	106	21.5%	163	33.1%	6	1.2%	147	29.9%
4	070	774	348	93	26.7%	53	15.2%	93	26.7%	8	2.3%	76	21.8%
4	071	1,111	763	169	22.1%	101	13.2%	322	42.2%	19	2.5%	137	18.0%
4	072	436	343	88	25.7%	34	9.9%	101	29.4%	7	2.0%	112	32.7%
4	073	1,507	991	410	41.4%	77	7.8%	296	29.9%	24	2.4%	177	17.9%
5	074	1,040	687	358	52.1%	38	5.5%	139	20.2%	26	3.8%	108	15.7%
5	075	965	746	240	32.2%	50	6.7%	215	28.8%	1	0.1%	240	32.2%
5	076	2,150	702	400	57.0%	41	5.8%	95	13.5%	60	8.5%	75	10.7%
5	077	2,653	1,099	369	33.6%	120	10.9%	175	15.9%	175	15.9%	220	20.0%
5	078	1,861	936	335	35.8%	120	12.8%	376	40.2%	4	0.4%	95	10.1%
5	079	1,487	1,012	485	47.9%	142	14.0%	255	25.2%	10	1.0%	50	4.9%
5	080	2,482	1,327	466	35.1%	126	9.5%	500	37.7%	35	2.6%	145	10.9%
5	081	1,862	1,251	620	49.6%	25	2.0%	280	22.4%	30	2.4%	236	18.9%
5	082	880	337	219	65.0%	26	7.7%	57	16.9%	4	1.2%	39	11.6%
5	083	2,120	1,093	582	53.2%	185	16.9%	201	18.4%	-	0.0%	100	9.1%
5	084	1,585	782	218	27.9%	163	20.8%	183	23.4%	-	0.0%	207	26.5%
5	085	1,180	451	161	35.7%	15	3.3%	208	46.1%	16	3.5%	41	9.1%
5	086	1,915	986	403	40.9%	228	23.1%	159	16.1%	-	0.0%	165	16.7%
5	087	1,156	649	360	55.5%	24	3.7%	74	11.4%	4	0.6%	188	29.0%
6	088	1,024	876	546	62.3%	60	6.8%	105	12.0%	-	0.0%	165	18.8%
6	089	3,243	1,799	344	19.1%	416	23.1%	821	45.6%	-	0.0%	218	12.1%
6	090	2,040	1,312	574	43.8%	246	18.8%	354	27.0%	-	0.0%	153	11.7%
6	091	1,655	744	339	45.6%	6	0.8%	191	25.7%	4	0.5%	188	25.3%
6	092	1,416	899	415	46.2%	228	25.4%	135	15.0%	-	0.0%	105	11.7%
6	093	1,469	886	75	8.5%	106	12.0%	375	42.3%	10	1.1%	300	33.9%
6	094	1,183	468	261	55.8%	101	21.6%	36	7.7%	2	0.4%	83	17.7%
6	095	1,353	1,039	341	32.8%	121	11.6%	96	9.2%	21	2.0%	453	43.6%

Hayward City Council Districting Tool

6	096	689	566	156	27.6%	44	7.8%	49	8.7%	23	4.1%	233	41.2%
6	097	899	723	95	13.1%	162	22.4%	216	29.9%	24	3.3%	189	26.1%
6	098	1,363	580	312	53.8%	88	15.2%	76	13.1%	17	2.9%	54	9.3%
6	099	331	141	61	43.3%	23	16.3%	29	20.6%	1	0.7%	18	12.8%
6	100	597	254	62	24.4%	69	27.2%	130	51.2%	2	0.8%	13	5.1%
6	101	1,340	572	119	20.8%	236	41.3%	32	5.6%	37	6.5%	182	31.8%
6	102	1,070	791	127	16.1%	75	9.5%	131	16.6%	31	3.9%	405	51.2%
6	103	957	654	137	20.9%	426	65.1%	46	7.0%	-	0.0%	100	15.3%
6	104	917	620	125	20.2%	207	33.4%	77	12.4%	-	0.0%	195	31.5%
6	105	872	588	194	33.0%	96	16.3%	68	11.6%	-	0.0%	128	21.8%
6	106	551	314	104	33.1%	60	19.1%	51	16.2%	-	0.0%	97	30.9%
6	107	332	189	80	42.3%	20	10.6%	32	16.9%	-	0.0%	40	21.2%
7	108	776	482	139	28.8%	87	18.0%	75	15.6%	-	0.0%	148	30.7%
7	109	787	510	65	12.7%	180	35.3%	45	8.8%	-	0.0%	220	43.1%
7	110	396	308	84	27.3%	20	6.5%	66	21.4%	7	2.3%	74	24.0%
7	111	1,070	834	206	24.7%	65	7.8%	128	15.3%	7	0.8%	454	54.4%
7	112	2,461	1,907	606	31.8%	374	19.6%	415	21.8%	-	0.0%	442	23.2%
7	113	424	254	67	26.4%	58	22.8%	45	17.7%	-	0.0%	105	41.3%
7	114	1,408	1,121	60	5.4%	281	25.1%	260	23.2%	30	2.7%	460	41.0%
7	115	1,137	849	232	27.3%	2	0.2%	55	6.5%	80	9.4%	480	56.5%
7	116	1,433	652	155	23.8%	96	14.7%	155	23.8%	20	3.1%	216	33.1%
7	117	1,656	1,166	557	47.8%	93	8.0%	75	6.4%	35	3.0%	361	31.0%
7	118	1,876	1,600	565	35.3%	289	18.1%	385	24.1%	4	0.3%	330	20.6%
7	119	2,293	1,775	160	9.0%	381	21.5%	480	27.0%	-	0.0%	750	42.3%
7	120	1,130	648	48	7.4%	88	13.6%	162	25.0%	-	0.0%	368	56.8%
7	121	1,703	1,597	451	28.2%	365	22.9%	370	23.2%	-	0.0%	356	22.3%
7	122	2,281	1,703	89	5.2%	270	15.9%	430	25.2%	-	0.0%	905	53.1%
7	123	1,473	1,092	20	1.8%	126	11.5%	621	56.9%	20	1.8%	241	22.1%




FW: Your District Plan has been submitted!

1 message

Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

Tue, May 21, 2024 at 2:43 PM

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

From: No-Reply <no-reply@publicredistricting.com>
Sent: Tuesda , Ma 21, 2024 2:42:27 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To:  Districting
Subject: Your District Plan has been submitted!

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Thank you for submitting the map and description of your district plan. You can stay up to date on the redistricting process by checking the city's website:


A copy of your District submission is attached in these three formats: a PDF, a shapefile, and an equivalency file.


The shapefile and equivalency file can be used in mapping software. The PDF is an easy way to PRINT (show others) what you sent to the Commission, or share it ELECTRONICALLY or on social media to encourage friends and family to participate in this important process.

Thank you again for your participation!

13 attachments

-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436.csv**
10K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436_44.pdf**
30K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436.pdf**
18K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436.dbf**
8K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436.txt**
1K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436.shx**
1K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202438.csv**
39K
-  **MyCityCouncil_05212024_44.pdf**
30K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436.cst**
1K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202438_44.pdf**
30K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202438.pdf**
18K

 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436.prj**
1K

 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436.shp**
10K

Submission ID: None

Plan name: Test Plan

District type: City Council District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments:

Submitted by:

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the City Council: N

District name	% Deviation	Comments
---------------	-------------	----------

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436_Test Plane ignore

Plan name: Test Plane ignore

District type: City Council District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Please ignore this submission it's a test

Submitted by: By Linus

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N


- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the City Council: Y

District name : Test District Please ignore

% Deviation : 1.34


Comments :



District name : Test District Please ignore

% Deviation : 1.34


Comments :



District name : Test District Please ignore

% Deviation : 1.34

Comments :





FW: My Community Map

1 message

Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

Thu, May 23, 2024 at 8:24 AM

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

From: Hayward Community Coalition 
Sent: Thursday, May 23, 2024 8:21:2 AM UT - : Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: districting@husd.us <districting@husd.us>; Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
Subject: Fwd: My Community Map



CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Hello,

Attached is a map created by a member of our community!

Regards,
HayCoCoa

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Lorraina Hernandez** 
Date: Wed, May 22, 2024 at 7:47 PM
Subject: My Community Map
To: 

Thanks for the zoom! Super cool and good to know. Here's my community map.

Best,

Lorraina Hernandez
Rainy Designs
Web Development & Design | WCAG Compliant

 **North Hayward.zip**
5K



FW: Community of Interest

1 message

Districing <Districing@hayward-ca.gov>
To: HaywardDistricing <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

Thu, May 23, 2024 at 8:30 AM

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

From: Collin Thormoto 
Sent: Thursday, May 23, 2024 8:29:54 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Districing <Districing@hayward-ca.gov>; districing@husd.us <districing@husd.us>
Subject: Community of Interest

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Hello,

Attached is my community of interest, to be used in the districing process.

All the best,
Collin Thormoto
Hayward Resident

 **MyCACommunity_05232024.zip**
9K




FW: Map

1 message

Districing <Districing@hayward-ca.gov>
To: HaywardDistricing <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

Fri, May 24, 2024 at 7:44 AM

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

From: Virginia Ferea 
Sent: Friday, May 24, 2024 7:44:38 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Districing <Districing@hayward-ca.gov>
Subject: Map

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Can you send me a district map



FW: KQED Media Request - Hayward Districting following settlement - 3 p.m. deadline

1 message

Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

Fri, May 24, 2024 at 10:02 AM

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

From: Daniel Hernandez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, May 24, 2024 10:01:59 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Districting
Subject: KQED Media Request - Hayward Districting following settlement - 3 p.m. deadline

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Hello,

My name is Daniel and I am a reporter for KQED.

I am reaching out regarding a press release about Hayward's commitment to districting for voting on city council members via districts.

I am asking for a quick 10-15 minute interview over the phone to talk about how the process is going, especially after the first meeting on May 14 and the upcoming meeting at the end of the month.

I have a 2 p.m. deadline and can talk at a representative's earliest convenience. If so, what's the best number to reach?

Thanks,
Daniel





FW: Hayward District Formation - New submission from Contact Us

1 message

Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

Sat, May 25, 2024 at 9:01 AM

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

From: 
Sent: Saturday, May 25, 2024 9:00:50 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To:  Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
Subject: Hayward District Formation - New submission from Contact Us

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Name

ron dingel

Email



Message

I for one want to vote on all my city council members not just one




FW: Your District Plan has been submitted!

1 message

Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

Sun, May 26, 2024 at 3:34 PM

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

From: No-Reply <no-reply@publicredistricting.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 26, 2024 3:33:16 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To:  Districting
Subject: Your District Plan has been submitted!

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Thank you for submitting the map and description of your district plan. You can stay up to date on the districting process by checking the city's website maphayward.org:


A copy of your District submission is attached in these three formats: a PDF, a shapefile, and an equivalency file.


The shapefile and equivalency file can be used in mapping software. The PDF is an easy way to PRINT (show others) what you sent to the City Council, or share it ELECTRONICALLY or on social media to encourage friends and family to participate in this important process.


Thank you again for your participation!

14 attachments

-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443.pdf**
19K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443.shx**
1K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443.cst**
1K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443_62.pdf**
35K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443_67.pdf**
30K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443.shp**
56K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443_63.pdf**
33K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443_61.pdf**
37K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443_66.pdf**
30K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443.dbf**
36K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443.csv**
36K

 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443.txt**
2K

 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443.prj**
1K

 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443_65.pdf**
30K

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443_Atherton_1

Plan name: Atherton_1

District type: City Council District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: These districts were constructed in a clockwise fashion, starting with Downtown / North (North Hayward, Downtown, Upper B Street, Burbank); to the Hayward Hills (Mission-Foothill, Hayward Highlands, Mission-Garin); to South Hayward (Fairway Park, Tennyson-Alquire); to Eden (Eden Shores, Glen Eden, Mt. Eden); to Northwest Hayward (Southgate, Longwood-Winton-Grove, Santa Clara); and finishing with the Triangle (Jackson Triangle, Whitman-Mocine. Harder-Tennyson). All districts are within 5 percent of the ideal size, and four of the six districts are within 1 percent of ideal district size. This plan would have two Asian plurality districts (Hills, Eden); and four Latino plurality districts (Downtown, South, Triangle, Northwest).

Submitted by: Chuck Purvis

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the City Council: Y

District name	% Deviation	Comments
Hills	-2.1	
Northwest	-0.34	
Eden	-0.21	
Downtown North	0.36	
South	1.07	
Triangle	0.86	

District name : Downtown North

% Deviation : 0.36


Comments :



District name : Triangle

% Deviation : 0.86


Comments :



District name : Eden

% Deviation : -0.21


Comments :



District name : Hills

% Deviation : -2.1


Comments :



District name : South

% Deviation : 1.07


Comments :



District name : Northwest

% Deviation : -0.34

Comments :






FW: Ideal district population

1 message

Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

Sun, May 26, 2024 at 6:00 PM

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

From: Grant Sakakihara 
Sent: Sunday, May 26, 2024 5:58:27 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
Subject: Ideal district population

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Hello,

The City of Hayward Districting Tool User Guide (https://files.publicredistricting.com/user_guide.pdf) states that the ideal district population is 22,237 (page 1). However, it appears based on the population data on the publicredistricting.com website, the ideal population per district must be around 27,000. Can the User Guide or the website be updated so that users know how much the ideal population is before they start making districts on the website?

Thanks!

Grant



FW: Your District Plan has been submitted!

1 message

Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

Mon, May 27, 2024 at 9:21 PM

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

From: No-Reply <no-reply@publicredistricting.com>
Sent: Monday, May 27, 2024 9:20:26 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: [REDACTED] Districting
Subject: Your District Plan has been submitted!

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Thank you for submitting the map and description of your district plan. You can stay up to date on the districting process by checking the city's website maphayward.org:


A copy of your District submission is attached in these three formats: a PDF, a shapefile, and an equivalency file.


The shapefile and equivalency file can be used in mapping software. The PDF is an easy way to PRINT (show others) what you sent to the City Council, or share it ELECTRONICALLY or on social media to encourage friends and family to participate in this important process.


Thank you again for your participation!

14 attachments

-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441.pdf**
18K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441_54.pdf**
32K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441_50.pdf**
28K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441.txt**
1K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441.csv**
28K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441_53.pdf**
30K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441.shp**
56K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441.shx**
1K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441.dbf**
36K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441.prj**
1K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441_51.pdf**
30K

 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441.cst**
1K

 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441_52.pdf**
36K

 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441_49.pdf**
30K

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441_Plan A

Plan name: Plan A

District type: City Council District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Compact, contiguous, nearly equal districts prepared without looking at the census category numbers. I will submit an identical spreadsheet version by email.

Submitted by: Dave Robinson

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N
- Total population % deviation: N
- Assignment: N


I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the City Council: Y

District name	% Deviation	Comments
4	1.69	
3	0.68	
1	-0.21	
2	-2.25	
5	1.36	
6	-1.38	

District name : 1

% Deviation : -0.21


Comments :



District name : 2

% Deviation : -2.25


Comments :



District name : 3

% Deviation : 0.68


Comments :



District name : 4

% Deviation : 1.69


Comments :



District name : 5

% Deviation : 1.36


Comments :



District name : 6

% Deviation : -1.38

Comments :






FW: District spreadsheet submission

1 message

Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

Mon, May 27, 2024 at 9:26 PM

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

From: Dave Robinson 
Sent: Monday, May 27, 2024 9:25:06 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
Subject: District spreadsheet submission

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

I have attached a city council districting proposal prepared using the spreadsheet tool. I separately created and submitted an identical proposal using the online mapping tool. A map generated by the online tool is on a separate tab.

 **HCC_DistrictingProposal_Robinson_6Dist_240525.xlsx**
1852K

Hayward City Council Districting Tool

Name:	Dave Robinson
Org or Group:	Hayward at large
Tell Us About Your Plan:	Compact, contiguous, nearly equal districts prepared without looking at the census category numbers.

District Tabulator													
(Do not type anywhere on this table; Do not sort columns)													
District	Population (2020 Census)		Citizen Voting Age Population (DOJ Special Tab 2018-2022)										
	Population	Dev %	Total	Latino	% Latino	NL Black	% NL Black	NL Asian	% NL Asian	NL HPI	% NL HPI	NL White	% NL White
City Unassigned	163,172	-	102,820	32,423	31.5%	12,608	12.3%	31,868	31.0%	2,365	2.3%	21,470	20.9%
1	27,139	-0.21%	19,254	5,627	29.2%	1,751	9.1%	8,575	44.5%	299	1.6%	2,573	13.4%
2	26,582	-2.26%	15,239	5,809	38.1%	1,495	9.8%	4,542	29.8%	299	2.0%	2,740	18.0%
3	27,570	1.38%	15,669	5,303	33.8%	3,083	19.7%	3,319	21.2%	192	1.2%	3,590	22.9%
4	27,495	1.10%	19,855	4,157	20.9%	3,220	16.2%	5,207	26.2%	288	1.5%	6,562	33.0%
5	27,566	1.36%	13,923	5,356	38.5%	1,509	10.8%	3,307	23.8%	690	5.0%	2,748	19.7%
6	26,820	-1.38%	18,880	6,171	32.7%	1,550	8.2%	6,918	36.6%	597	3.2%	3,257	17.3%
Ideal Pop:	27,195.33												
<i>Lowest District Dev %:</i>	-2.3%												
<i>Highest District Dev %:</i>	1.4%												
Total Plan Range	3.63%	~~~~ A plan range up to 10% is considered legally allowable.											

Segment List	
(Only type District numbers 1-7 in the "Dist #" column; Do not type anywhere else; Do not sort columns)	

Hayward City Council Districting Tool

		Population (2020 Census)		Citizen Voting Age Population (DOJ Special Tab 2018-2022)									
Dist #	Segment	Population	Total	Latino	% Latino	NL Black	% NL Black	NL Asian	% NL Asian	NL HPI	% NL HPI	NL White	% NL White
1	001	3,404	3,076	935	30.4%	200	6.5%	1,561	50.7%	60	2.0%	315	10.2%
1	002	1,758	1,176	275	23.4%	85	7.2%	621	52.8%	25	2.1%	170	14.5%
1	003	1,733	1,358	381	28.1%	136	10.0%	705	51.9%	55	4.1%	60	4.4%
1	004	1,752	1,499	736	49.1%	192	12.8%	510	34.0%	-	0.0%	36	2.4%
1	005	1,542	691	180	26.0%	26	3.8%	264	38.2%	15	2.2%	185	26.8%
1	006	373	250	107	42.8%	7	2.8%	73	29.2%	-	0.0%	56	22.4%
1	007	897	597	314	52.6%	39	6.5%	117	19.6%	-	0.0%	129	21.6%
1	008	1,378	940	330	35.1%	25	2.7%	110	11.7%	55	5.9%	255	27.1%
1	009	1,821	1,220	485	39.8%	174	14.3%	301	24.7%	-	0.0%	201	16.5%
1	010	854	975	380	39.0%	70	7.2%	415	42.6%	20	2.1%	90	9.2%
1	011	864	576	240	41.7%	26	4.5%	214	37.2%	-	0.0%	94	16.3%
1	012	1,239	735	18	2.4%	93	12.7%	560	76.2%	-	0.0%	37	5.0%
1	013	1,005	596	7	1.2%	48	8.1%	525	88.1%	-	0.0%	33	5.5%
1	014	1,539	942	105	11.1%	96	10.2%	500	53.1%	-	0.0%	140	14.9%
1	015	65	28	-	0.0%	12	42.9%	1	3.6%	-	0.0%	7	25.0%
1	016	74	32	2	6.3%	37	115.6%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	9	28.1%
1	017	504	221	2	0.9%	26	11.8%	44	19.9%	-	0.0%	145	65.6%
2	018	2,304	1,129	467	41.4%	164	14.5%	266	23.6%	1	0.1%	216	19.1%
2	019	2,784	825	467	56.6%	11	1.3%	140	17.0%	80	9.7%	127	15.4%
2	020	1,129	676	175	25.9%	11	1.6%	325	48.1%	-	0.0%	135	20.0%
2	021	922	450	130	28.9%	20	4.4%	70	15.6%	15	3.3%	210	46.7%
2	022	1,442	1,190	420	35.3%	130	10.9%	310	26.1%	20	1.7%	300	25.2%
2	023	366	206	106	51.5%	7	3.4%	62	30.1%	-	0.0%	31	15.0%
2	024	1,118	631	185	29.3%	53	8.4%	233	36.9%	4	0.6%	149	23.6%
2	025	802	570	105	18.4%	25	4.4%	310	54.4%	15	2.6%	115	20.2%
2	026	916	767	270	35.2%	157	20.5%	210	27.4%	15	2.0%	110	14.3%
2	027	1,364	676	171	25.3%	74	10.9%	214	31.7%	38	5.6%	132	19.5%
2	028	1,083	791	254	32.1%	128	16.2%	231	29.2%	27	3.4%	108	13.7%
1	029	1,502	1,064	260	24.4%	133	12.5%	516	48.5%	11	1.0%	135	12.7%

Hayward City Council Districting Tool

1	030	1,231	935	268	28.7%	16	1.7%	595	63.6%	4	0.4%	56	6.0%
1	031	1,140	664	307	46.2%	98	14.8%	198	29.8%	33	5.0%	15	2.3%
1	032	1,556	1,127	226	20.1%	176	15.6%	539	47.8%	2	0.2%	198	17.6%
1	033	908	552	69	12.5%	36	6.5%	206	37.3%	19	3.4%	207	37.5%
6	034	3,232	1,974	966	48.9%	125	6.3%	457	23.2%	155	7.9%	226	11.4%
6	035	1,527	1,113	591	53.1%	143	12.8%	173	15.5%	61	5.5%	99	8.9%
6	036	1,726	1,260	109	8.7%	33	2.6%	675	53.6%	-	0.0%	475	37.7%
6	037	175	126	16	12.7%	18	14.3%	10	7.9%	-	0.0%	50	39.7%
6	038	1,142	859	273	31.8%	23	2.7%	236	27.5%	97	11.3%	281	32.7%
6	039	1,610	1,206	312	25.9%	62	5.1%	589	48.8%	63	5.2%	69	5.7%
6	040	1,275	905	297	32.8%	72	8.0%	252	27.8%	30	3.3%	112	12.4%
6	041	1,611	1,131	274	24.2%	73	6.5%	462	40.8%	87	7.7%	179	15.8%
6	042	1,459	1,010	239	23.7%	98	9.7%	467	46.2%	28	2.8%	170	16.8%
6	043	1,157	1,063	532	50.0%	45	4.2%	385	36.2%	25	2.4%	65	6.1%
5	044	1,871	1,010	195	19.3%	35	3.5%	365	36.1%	130	12.9%	280	27.7%
6	045	1,731	944	511	54.1%	92	9.7%	225	23.8%	-	0.0%	120	12.7%
6	046	1,805	2,051	285	13.9%	185	9.0%	1,475	71.9%	-	0.0%	96	4.7%
6	047	1,134	645	125	19.4%	10	1.6%	315	48.8%	4	0.6%	190	29.5%
6	048	1,015	567	236	41.6%	91	16.0%	90	15.9%	-	0.0%	125	22.0%
6	049	1,217	894	306	34.2%	148	16.6%	290	32.4%	24	2.7%	137	15.3%
6	050	1,376	431	211	49.0%	9	2.1%	110	25.5%	7	1.6%	83	19.3%
6	051	1,270	977	575	58.9%	51	5.2%	105	10.7%	10	1.0%	215	22.0%
6	052	2,358	1,724	313	18.2%	272	15.8%	602	34.9%	6	0.3%	565	32.8%
4	053	873	426	105	24.6%	21	4.9%	50	11.7%	-	0.0%	250	58.7%
4	054	2,693	1,864	193	10.4%	269	14.4%	1,205	64.6%	14	0.8%	199	10.7%
4	055	753	479	161	33.6%	59	12.3%	117	24.4%	71	14.8%	75	15.7%
5	056	607	384	188	49.0%	23	6.0%	88	22.9%	35	9.1%	23	6.0%
5	057	1,123	443	152	34.3%	49	11.1%	90	20.3%	20	4.5%	135	30.5%
5	058	1,173	708	417	58.9%	135	19.1%	50	7.1%	20	2.8%	85	12.0%
5	059	791	469	47	10.0%	44	9.4%	273	58.2%	9	1.9%	94	20.0%
5	060	1,337	796	188	23.6%	58	7.3%	327	41.1%	11	1.4%	190	23.9%
5	061	1,507	1,446	545	37.7%	150	10.4%	310	21.4%	45	3.1%	401	27.7%
5	062	2,185	1,058	337	31.9%	111	10.5%	250	23.6%	60	5.7%	285	26.9%


Hayward City Council Districting Tool

5	063	857	291	80	27.5%	103	35.4%	55	18.9%	-	0.0%	41	14.1%
5	064	1,714	297	96	32.3%	-	0.0%	35	11.8%	25	8.4%	121	40.7%
5	065	1,125	418	326	78.0%	76	18.2%	15	3.6%	-	0.0%	1	0.2%
5	066	805	456	342	75.0%	1	0.2%	14	3.1%	-	0.0%	33	7.2%
5	067	944	290	91	31.4%	154	53.1%	40	13.8%	10	3.4%	-	0.0%
5	068	768	432	365	84.5%	-	0.0%	11	2.5%	-	0.0%	7	1.6%
5	069	1,088	492	100	20.3%	106	21.5%	163	33.1%	6	1.2%	147	29.9%
5	070	774	348	93	26.7%	53	15.2%	93	26.7%	8	2.3%	76	21.8%
5	071	1,111	763	169	22.1%	101	13.2%	322	42.2%	19	2.5%	137	18.0%
5	072	436	343	88	25.7%	34	9.9%	101	29.4%	7	2.0%	112	32.7%
5	073	1,507	991	410	41.4%	77	7.8%	296	29.9%	24	2.4%	177	17.9%
5	074	1,040	687	358	52.1%	38	5.5%	139	20.2%	26	3.8%	108	15.7%
2	075	965	746	240	32.2%	50	6.7%	215	28.8%	1	0.1%	240	32.2%
5	076	2,150	702	400	57.0%	41	5.8%	95	13.5%	60	8.5%	75	10.7%
5	077	2,653	1,099	369	33.6%	120	10.9%	175	15.9%	175	15.9%	220	20.0%
2	078	1,861	936	335	35.8%	120	12.8%	376	40.2%	4	0.4%	95	10.1%
2	079	1,487	1,012	485	47.9%	142	14.0%	255	25.2%	10	1.0%	50	4.9%
2	080	2,482	1,327	466	35.1%	126	9.5%	500	37.7%	35	2.6%	145	10.9%
2	081	1,862	1,251	620	49.6%	25	2.0%	280	22.4%	30	2.4%	236	18.9%
3	082	880	337	219	65.0%	26	7.7%	57	16.9%	4	1.2%	39	11.6%
3	083	2,120	1,093	582	53.2%	185	16.9%	201	18.4%	-	0.0%	100	9.1%
3	084	1,585	782	218	27.9%	163	20.8%	183	23.4%	-	0.0%	207	26.5%
3	085	1,180	451	161	35.7%	15	3.3%	208	46.1%	16	3.5%	41	9.1%
3	086	1,915	986	403	40.9%	228	23.1%	159	16.1%	-	0.0%	165	16.7%
3	087	1,156	649	360	55.5%	24	3.7%	74	11.4%	4	0.6%	188	29.0%
3	088	1,024	876	546	62.3%	60	6.8%	105	12.0%	-	0.0%	165	18.8%
3	089	3,243	1,799	344	19.1%	416	23.1%	821	45.6%	-	0.0%	218	12.1%
2	090	2,040	1,312	574	43.8%	246	18.8%	354	27.0%	-	0.0%	153	11.7%
2	091	1,655	744	339	45.6%	6	0.8%	191	25.7%	4	0.5%	188	25.3%
3	092	1,416	899	415	46.2%	228	25.4%	135	15.0%	-	0.0%	105	11.7%
3	093	1,469	886	75	8.5%	106	12.0%	375	42.3%	10	1.1%	300	33.9%
3	094	1,183	468	261	55.8%	101	21.6%	36	7.7%	2	0.4%	83	17.7%
3	095	1,353	1,039	341	32.8%	121	11.6%	96	9.2%	21	2.0%	453	43.6%

Hayward City Council Districting Tool

3	096	689	566	156	27.6%	44	7.8%	49	8.7%	23	4.1%	233	41.2%
3	097	899	723	95	13.1%	162	22.4%	216	29.9%	24	3.3%	189	26.1%
3	098	1,363	580	312	53.8%	88	15.2%	76	13.1%	17	2.9%	54	9.3%
3	099	331	141	61	43.3%	23	16.3%	29	20.6%	1	0.7%	18	12.8%
3	100	597	254	62	24.4%	69	27.2%	130	51.2%	2	0.8%	13	5.1%
3	101	1,340	572	119	20.8%	236	41.3%	32	5.6%	37	6.5%	182	31.8%
3	102	1,070	791	127	16.1%	75	9.5%	131	16.6%	31	3.9%	405	51.2%
3	103	957	654	137	20.9%	426	65.1%	46	7.0%	-	0.0%	100	15.3%
3	104	917	620	125	20.2%	207	33.4%	77	12.4%	-	0.0%	195	31.5%
4	105	872	588	194	33.0%	96	16.3%	68	11.6%	-	0.0%	128	21.8%
3	106	551	314	104	33.1%	60	19.1%	51	16.2%	-	0.0%	97	30.9%
3	107	332	189	80	42.3%	20	10.6%	32	16.9%	-	0.0%	40	21.2%
4	108	776	482	139	28.8%	87	18.0%	75	15.6%	-	0.0%	148	30.7%
4	109	787	510	65	12.7%	180	35.3%	45	8.8%	-	0.0%	220	43.1%
4	110	396	308	84	27.3%	20	6.5%	66	21.4%	7	2.3%	74	24.0%
4	111	1,070	834	206	24.7%	65	7.8%	128	15.3%	7	0.8%	454	54.4%
4	112	2,461	1,907	606	31.8%	374	19.6%	415	21.8%	-	0.0%	442	23.2%
4	113	424	254	67	26.4%	58	22.8%	45	17.7%	-	0.0%	105	41.3%
4	114	1,408	1,121	60	5.4%	281	25.1%	260	23.2%	30	2.7%	460	41.0%
4	115	1,137	849	232	27.3%	2	0.2%	55	6.5%	80	9.4%	480	56.5%
4	116	1,433	652	155	23.8%	96	14.7%	155	23.8%	20	3.1%	216	33.1%
4	117	1,656	1,166	557	47.8%	93	8.0%	75	6.4%	35	3.0%	361	31.0%
4	118	1,876	1,600	565	35.3%	289	18.1%	385	24.1%	4	0.3%	330	20.6%
4	119	2,293	1,775	160	9.0%	381	21.5%	480	27.0%	-	0.0%	750	42.3%
4	120	1,130	648	48	7.4%	88	13.6%	162	25.0%	-	0.0%	368	56.8%
4	121	1,703	1,597	451	28.2%	365	22.9%	370	23.2%	-	0.0%	356	22.3%
4	122	2,281	1,703	89	5.2%	270	15.9%	430	25.2%	-	0.0%	905	53.1%
4	123	1,473	1,092	20	1.8%	126	11.5%	621	56.9%	20	1.8%	241	22.1%

Hayward City Council Districting Tool - City Map



Total population from online tool

Dist	%Latino	%White	%Black	%Asian	%MMR
1	28.4	11.8	6.5	46.1	1.8 bay
2	48.6	10.8	8.2	26.3	1.6 mall
3	45.3	13	12.2	24.2	1.3 north
4	30.3	22.2	13.9	28.5	1.6 hills
5	54.8	8.2	7.5	22.6	1.6 central
6	39.5	12.9	6.2	35.9	1.6 south

Total Population is California Statewide Adjusted Data via the California Statewide Database: <https://statewidedatabase.org/rec>
Citizen Voting Age Population is based on disaggregated block data of the DOJ Special Tabulation of the American Community 2022, via the California Statewide Database: https://statewidedatabase.org/d20/2024_cvap.html

Subject: RE: Comment for May 28 meeting item 1



Miriam Lens [REDACTED]
to Dave Robinson, Dustin Claussen, Michael Lawson, Chuck Finnie

11:41AM (4 hours ago)

You are viewing an attached message. Tripepi Smith & Associates Mail can't verify the authenticity of attached messages.

Good morning, Dave Robinson:

Thank you for your email. I will coordinate with City staff to show your slides on screen while you deliver your public comment. Your slides will be added to documents/emails received for this meeting.

We look forward to meeting you this evening.

Regards,

Miriam Lens
City Clerk

City of Hayward | 777 B Street | Hayward, CA 94541



Website: <https://www.hayward-ca.gov/>



From: Dave Robinson [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2024 7:08 AM
To: List-Mayor-Council <List-Mayor-Council@hayward-ca.gov>
Subject: Comment for May 28 meeting item 1

CAUTION: This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Hello -

I intend to deliver a public comment in person at this evening's meeting regarding district maps. I prepared some slides to accompany the comment, which are attached. I was inspired by a prior commenter's use of the document camera, but my color toner cartridge is not working and I do not have time to go to Copy Pacific, so I hope this will work. As a fallback, I can put my iPad under the document camera, but this might have too much glare. Emailing the slides also makes sure the city will have them in case I fail to appear at the meeting.

Thank you,
Dave Robinson


One attachment • Scanned by Gmail



Hayward City Council District Map Proposal

Dave Robinson


5/28/2024



Here is the map.


Checklist

1. Equal population
 - About as close as possible given block sizes on spreadsheet tool.
2. Federal Voting Rights Act compliant
 - Did not use census category data.
3. Contiguity
 - No islands.
4. Respect for Communities of Interest
 - Used geographic obstacles as boundaries.
 - Community input will be helpful.
5. Compactness
 - No tentacles on internal boundaries.




Statistics

- Some districts have about double the % vs. others in each census category.
- No majority district for any category.




District	Population (2020 Census)		Citizen Voting Age Population (DOJ Special Tab 2018-2022)											
	Population	Dev %	Total	Latino	% Latino	NL Black	% NL Black	NL Asian	% NL Asian	NL HPI	% NL HPI	NL White		% NL White
City Unassigned	163,172	-	102,820	32,423	31.5%	12,608	12.3%	31,868	31.0%	2,365	2.3%	21,470	20.9%	
1	27,139	-0.21%	19,254	5,627	29.2%	1,751	9.1%	8,575	44.5%	299	1.6%	2,573	13.4%	bay
2	26,582	-2.26%	15,239	5,809	38.1%	1,495	9.8%	4,542	29.8%	299	2.0%	2,740	18.0%	mall
3	27,570	1.38%	15,669	5,303	33.8%	3,083	19.7%	3,319	21.2%	192	1.2%	3,590	22.9%	north
4	27,495	1.10%	19,855	4,157	20.9%	3,220	16.2%	5,207	26.2%	288	1.5%	6,562	33.0%	hills
5	27,566	1.36%	13,923	5,356	38.5%	1,509	10.8%	3,307	23.8%	690	5.0%	2,748	19.7%	central
6	26,820	-1.38%	18,880	6,171	32.7%	1,550	8.2%	6,918	36.6%	597	3.2%	3,257	17.3%	south
Ideal Pop:	27,195.33													
<i>Lowest District Dev %:</i>	-2.3%													
<i>Highest District Dev %:</i>	1.4%													
Total Plan Range	3.63%	<~~~~ A plan range up to 10% is considered legally allowable.												

Context: Other current maps presumably meet criteria.



Transit, water, sewer, park, and other districts already divide the city.

Maps from https://www.acgov.org/rov_app/edata



Professionally drawn maps in Fremont and Union City have more irregular boundaries.




FW: Your District Plan has been submitted!

1 message

Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

Tue, May 28, 2024 at 10:31 AM

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

From: No-Reply <no-reply@publicredistricting.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2024 10:30:19 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To:  Districting
Subject: Your District Plan has been submitted!

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Thank you for submitting the map and description of your district plan. You can stay up to date on the districting process by checking the city's website maphayward.org:


A copy of your District submission is attached in these three formats: a PDF, a shapefile, and an equivalency file.


The shapefile and equivalency file can be used in mapping software. The PDF is an easy way to PRINT (show others) what you sent to the City Council, or share it ELECTRONICALLY or on social media to encourage friends and family to participate in this important process.


Thank you again for your participation!

14 attachments

-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445_82.pdf**
29K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445_80.pdf**
31K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445.prj**
1K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445.shp**
55K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445.pdf**
19K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445_83.pdf**
29K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445.txt**
3K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445.shx**
1K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445_78.pdf**
30K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445.csv**
48K
-  **MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445.dbf**
36K

 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445_92.pdf**
35K

 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445_79.pdf**
31K

 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445.cst**
1K

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445_District Proposal - Yest, R

Plan name: District Proposal - Yest, R

District type: City Council District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: I have constructed the districts to meet the requirements of population balance, contiguity, and reasonably compactedness. Communities of interest—as illustrated in the provided spreadsheet and my own understanding of the city I live in—help shaped the neighborhoods that I wanted to keep intact. But, with our population being diverse across the city, there are no large communities of interest that will nicely fit into one district. For example, the AAPI community has a large population percentage in the northwest and southeast regions. It would have been impossible to construct one district and still maintain the requirements, such as reasonable compactedness or contiguity. My goal was to ensure that I maintained the neighborhoods within the communities of interest. Once identified, I used geographic features, such as roads, rail, water, etc, to provide a natural social boundaries.

Submitted by: Robert Yest PhD

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N


- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the City Council: Y

District name : Chabot

% Deviation : 3.88


Comments : I built this to respect the community of interest within the Latino community using 880 and 92 as physical boundaries. I had to extend eastward to include more to meet the population requirement.



District name : Industrial - Huntwood

% Deviation : 3.7


Comments : I chose this area to be respectful of the community of interest within the AAPI community. Also I used major geographical boundaries to help shape the region.



District name : Jackson - Downtown

% Deviation : 2.28


Comments : I chose this area to be respectful of the community of interest within the Latino community. The downtown area was included to meet the population requirement.



District name : Tennyson - Harder

% Deviation : -1.92


Comments : I chose this area to be respectful of the community of interest within the Latino community. Also I used major geographical boundaries (roads) to help shape the region.



District name : CSU - Hayward Hills

% Deviation : -3.18


Comments : Due to the contiguity requirement, compactness, and the shape of the city's boundaries in the northeast, most of this district had to be this way. I used Foothill and Mission blvds as my boundary.



District name : Glen Eden

% Deviation : -4.74

Comments : I built this to respect the community of interest within the AAPI community using 880 and 92 as physical boundaries. I had to extend eastward to include more to meet the population requirement.







FW: Hayward District Formation - New submission from Contact Us

1 message

Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

Tue, May 28, 2024 at 1:54 PM

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

From: 
Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2024 1:54:12 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To:  Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
Subject: Hayward District Formation - New submission from Contact Us

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Name

Dwight Turner

Email



Message

The password maybe the id also are incorrect for tonight's meeting.



FW: COI for districting

1 message

Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

Tue, May 28, 2024 at 1:56 PM

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

From: dwight turner [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2024 1:56:09 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
Cc: Dwight Turner [REDACTED]
Subject: COI for districting

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Districting Process

Community of Interest

My Community

1. Describe your community

- **Community Name:**
- **Hayward Hills**
-
- **Description**

Unique Shared Concerns:

Topographically this is some of the highest elevations in the city. So the weather is different here than the flat lands. This area occasionally experiences snow, hail, thick fog, high winds and freezing rain. Therefore road and driveway grades should consider these possible conditions.

Road egress is largely dependent on Hayward Boulevard and Fairview Road. Therefore the area is very sensitive to increase traffic during normal conditions and residents have expressed serious concern in emergency situations that could close access to either/both road or the road becomes clogged with excess traffic.

Wildland interface areas share a boundary with much of this area. Therefore wildfires, erosion and flooding are all situations of high concern. Some residents have reported insurance coverage issues due to these conditions.

Common Shared Concerns:

Maintaining Home Values is a concern since many of the homes were built less than 30 years ago. Therefore anything that might disrupt the appreciation trend would be viewed as adverse.

Security is a big concern. Since these communities are remote to rest of the city it appears that they get less patrols and poor response.

Maintain Diversity. It appears these areas are very diverse and peaceful and we want to continue to maintain this.

- **Boundary of Community of Interest**

At a minimum it should include

Prominence

Stone Brae

Bailey Ranch

Possible other communities

Other communities along the Hayward Boulevard needed to meet the size requirement for the district.



Fwd: FW: Asian American / Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander Heritage Month: Data for Hayward

1 message

From: Charles Purvis 
Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2024 11:44 AM
To: List-Mayor-Council <List-Mayor-Council@hayward-ca.gov>
Subject: Asian American / Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander Heritage Month: Data for Hayward

CAUTION: This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mayor Salinas and City Council:

Attached is a summary of data on Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander (NHPI) population based on data from the 2020 Census.

Table 1 shows total population for the seven largest NHPI groups in the United States, and summarized for the nation, California statewide, the San Francisco Bay Area, Alameda County, and the City of Hayward.

The largest seven NHPI groups are Native Hawaiian (680 thousand); Samoan (257 thousand); Chamorro (Northern Marianas, 144 thousand); Tongan (79 thousand); Fijian (54 thousand); Marshallese (53 thousand); and Guamanian (24 thousand).

Table 2 shows the population shares for each of the NHPI groups. The last row of Table 2 shows the ratio of the Hayward population share to the United State population share. This shows that the Hayward population share of Fijian-Americans (2.21 percent) is 136 times larger than the US population share (0.02%). Notably, the Hayward share of all NHPI groups is higher than the US average, except for Marshall Islanders.

These data are for each of the racial groups "alone, or in combination with other races" such that a person who reported Hawaiian and Samoan race would be included in both categories.

Table 3 shows the top 25 places in the United States ranked by the number of Fijian-Americans. Hayward has the second largest concentration of Fijians, after Sacramento.

Table 4 shows the top 25 places in the United States ranked by the number of Tongan-Americans. Hayward has the tenth largest concentration of Tongans in the USA.

I hope that this is of interest, and I want to thank you for your service to Hayward!

Chuck Purvis
Hayward, CA


 [nhopi_summary_1.pdf](#)
36K

Table 1**Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander Total Population: 2020: USA, California, Bay Area, Alameda County, City of Hayward
Census 2020, File DDHCA**

name	Total	Native						
	Population	Hawaiian	Samoan	Chamorro	Tongan	Fijian	Guamanian	Marshallese
United States	331,449,281	680,390	257,010	143,922	78,853	54,037	24,304	52,640
California	39,538,223	95,165	68,747	40,015	26,899	38,170	7,675	2,865
San Francisco Bay Area	7,765,640	23,635	16,632	9,786	13,556	14,614	1,771	137
Alameda County, California	1,682,353	5,688	3,897	2,249	3,976	6,067	368	54
Hayward city, California	162,954	759	918	257	1,054	3,605	60	NA

Table 2**Share of Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander Total Population: 2020: USA, California, Bay Area, Alameda County, City of Hayward
Census 2020, File DDHCA**

name	Total	Native						
	Population	Hawaiian	Samoan	Chamorro	Tongan	Fijian	Guamanian	Marshallese
United States	100.00%	0.21%	0.08%	0.04%	0.02%	0.02%	0.01%	0.02%
California	100.00%	0.24%	0.17%	0.10%	0.07%	0.10%	0.02%	0.01%
San Francisco Bay Area	100.00%	0.30%	0.21%	0.13%	0.17%	0.19%	0.02%	0.00%
Alameda County, California	100.00%	0.34%	0.23%	0.13%	0.24%	0.36%	0.02%	0.00%
Hayward city, California	100.00%	0.47%	0.56%	0.16%	0.65%	2.21%	0.04%	N/A
Hayward-to-USA-Ratio of Shares		2.3	7.3	3.6	27.2	135.7	5.0	N/A

Table 3**Fijian-American Population: Top 25 Cities with Largest Fijian Population: 2020
Census 2020, File DDHCA**

Rank	City	Total Population	Fijian	Fijian Share
1	Sacramento city, California	524,943	5,483	1.04%
2	Hayward city, California	162,954	3,605	2.21%
3	Elk Grove city, California	176,124	2,242	1.27%
4	Modesto city, California	218,464	1,880	0.86%
5	Stockton city, California	320,804	1,210	0.38%
6	Florin CDP, California	52,388	837	1.60%
7	San Jose city, California	1,013,240	768	0.08%
8	Vineyard CDP, California	43,935	703	1.60%
9	Santa Rosa city, California	178,127	699	0.39%
10	Los Angeles city, California	3,898,747	667	0.02%
11	West Sacramento city, California	53,915	589	1.09%
12	San Bruno city, California	43,908	554	1.26%
13	South San Francisco city, California	66,105	527	0.80%
14	Portland city, Oregon	652,503	470	0.07%
15	Rancho Cordova city, California	79,332	467	0.59%
16	Kent city, Washington	136,588	452	0.33%
17	San Mateo city, California	105,661	445	0.42%
18	Fremont city, California	230,504	444	0.19%
19	Tracy city, California	93,000	433	0.47%
20	Newark city, California	47,529	421	0.89%
21	Union City city, California	70,143	406	0.58%
22	San Francisco city, California	873,965	390	0.04%
23	Vallejo city, California	126,090	344	0.27%
24	Arden-Arcade CDP, California	94,659	336	0.35%
25	Pittsburg city, California	76,416	334	0.44%

Table 4**Tongan-American Population: Top 25 Cities with Largest Tongan Population: 2020
Census 2020, File DDHCA**

Rank	City	Total Population	Tongan	Tongan Share
1	West Valley City city, Utah	140,230	3,343	2.38%
2	Salt Lake City city, Utah	199,723	3,163	1.58%
3	Urban Honolulu CDP, Hawaii	350,964	1,990	0.57%
4	East Palo Alto city, California	30,034	1,671	5.56%
5	Oakland city, California	440,646	1,373	0.31%
6	Sacramento city, California	524,943	1,298	0.25%
7	West Jordan city, Utah	116,961	1,274	1.09%
8	San Mateo city, California	105,661	1,111	1.05%
9	Eules city, Texas	61,032	1,074	1.76%
10	Hayward city, California	162,954	1,054	0.65%
11	Provo city, Utah	115,162	1,019	0.88%
12	Anchorage municipality, Alaska	291,247	865	0.30%
13	San Jose city, California	1,013,240	851	0.08%
14	Mesa city, Arizona	504,258	829	0.16%
15	Orem city, Utah	98,129	796	0.81%
16	Taylorsville city, Utah	60,448	765	1.27%
17	Laie CDP, Hawaii	5,963	684	11.47%
18	Los Angeles city, California	3,898,747	675	0.02%
19	Antioch city, California	115,291	619	0.54%
20	Kearns metro township, Utah	36,723	576	1.57%
21	South Jordan city, Utah	77,487	568	0.73%
22	Portland city, Oregon	652,503	567	0.09%
23	San Bruno city, California	43,908	559	1.27%
24	Reno city, Nevada	264,165	542	0.21%
25	Phoenix city, Arizona	1,608,139	517	0.03%