## FW: Hayward districts

1 message

**Districting** <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>                     Wed, May 15, 2024 at 3:56 PM
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

> **CAUTION:**This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

**From:** Rebecca Parr ━━━━━━━━━━━
**Sent:** Wednesday, May 15, 2024 3:56:28 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
**To:** Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
**Subject:** Hayward districts

> **CAUTION:**This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Regarding Hayward's shift to district elections, I urge that the Jackson Triangle NOT be lumped with South Hayward, as city officials have done in the past.

The Jackson Triangle is more closely aligned with downtown Hayward than with South Hayward. For example, the Main Library is closer to Jackson Triangle residents than the Weekes branch library. Jackson Triangle residents use Hayward BART station, which is closer to Jackson Triangle than South Hayward BART. Jackson Triangle students attend Hayward High School, not Tennyson or Mt. Eden high schools. Etc., etc.

Sincerely,

Ms. Parr.

I rarely if ever frequent businesses in South Hayward

**Lilia Corral**

**From:**      Peggy Guernsey ▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨
**Sent:**      Friday, May 17, 2024 9:31 AM
**To:**        Miriam Lens
**Subject:**   Re: Special mtg 5/14

No I was listening, waiting my turn, to about 5 people he called up, a couple were online, but oh well. no miss.

My neighborhood is quiet, only 2 shootings in 53 years!

I wanted to say it should be against the law that this att. can force me to not be able to vote for candidates that make decisions for the entire city.  How can one person force the city to change and make money from it?  Well I guess if 3 Councilmembers can suggest a 65.1% raise for themselves, without the public stopping it, then anything is possible.

My neighborhood is not friendly.  We do not share picnics or BBQs.  They shoot up fireworks and bullets.  The Mayor and Councilmembers do not come to help translate for me when the neighbors break the law or harass the disabled.  The Mayor says he and the other Councilmembers came to all the precincts  but he nor they do not return to help.

The HPD wants my daughter to <u>change her disability</u> because the neighbor adults won't stop harassing her.  She has tried to inform new neighbors that parking by fire hydrant is illegal.  They don't care and move our garbage cans when she puts them out per her ability.

The neighbors block parking for my caregivers because the HPD REFUSES to paint a blue curb, "because they can't enforce it" per HPD.  So my Paratransist buses, The Hayward HOP and medical transports and caregivers must park in the middle of the street to pick me up.  This is unfair.

There is NO WAY this neighborhood will join together to vote for one person to represent us.  I used to see a pretty good turnout because the local church volunteers their rooms and parking lot for easy and disabled access.

An Asian was elected by the Asian mobile home parks associations.  Then she left.  No one else has come forward as far as I know.  I do not elect my representatives by race or heritage.  I elect them for what they want to see Hayward become.

 We have a terrible racist history and some continue it with bigotry and harassment.

The Hayward white community pushed the Blacks and Puerto Rican peoples beyond the railroad tracks.  The returning Japanese were pushed beyond Tennyson. The Spanish and Portuguese peoples were called white.   We still have rich and poor and non english speakers.  At one time HUSD stated they

had 22 different home languages.  They still have separate ethnic groups.  The PTA attempted to print the school notices in several languages to help unite parents in the education of their children.  HUSD said NO! So Hay is only an attempt at making South Hayward sound classy but it isn't.  It is treated like not a part of Hayward.  Downtown signage does nothing for the area.  Separate elected officials  only STOPS citizens from knowing everyone and puts us in areas in little boxes.

If we cannot elect candidates that we feel are caring and willing to change no matter where they live then we are not a city together, we are little areas.  Boxed in by lines.

KEEP HAYWARD OPEN   DO NOT DIVIDE US.   CAN I SUE THIS ATTORNEY For MESSING WITH MY CITY?  ANYONE WITH ME?

Peggy Guernsey

On Wed, May 15, 2024 at 1:02 PM Miriam Lens ▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨ wrote:

Good afternoon, Peggy:

I think the Mayor saw your hand after he had closed public comment.  Would you like to send a message to Council and to districting@hayward-ca.gov ?  I will be happy to forward the message.

Thanks for joining the meeting.

Miriam

Miriam Lens

City Clerk

City of Hayward | 777 B Street | Hayward, CA 94541
▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨

Website:  https://www.hayward-ca.gov/

HAYWARD

**FW: My SHP files for two alternatives: Hayward City Council**

1 message

**Districting** <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

> **CAUTION:** This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

, 0, 2024 3:50:33 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
**To:** Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
**Subject:** My SHP files for two alternatives: Hayward City Council

> **CAUTION:** This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Hello Hayward Districting Consultant!

Attached is a zip file containing the Hayward block level GIS files (shx, shp, dbf, qix, prj.) These are my first two council boundary submissions. I created these in QGIS in early May, in anticipa

I've checked out the redistricting map website. It looks a lot easier / cleaner than using QGIS!!! I've registered on there, a

I've also attached maps of my first two alternatives. Maybe let's name them "Atherton #1" and "Atherton #2" after my home street?

Four variables are relevant in my GIS files:

"hayward" - - which refers to the 18 neighborhoods (16 created by the City Council, and adding in Eden Landing and Downtown Hayward as neighborhoods.)

council1 = Alternative Atherton #1. This is a simple aggregation of the 18 Hayward neighborhoods, no adjustment.
council2 = Alternative Atherton #2. This is my attempt at equalizing the six districts.
GEOID = the detailed Census geographic ID, for linking to whatever master file you have. (state+county+tract+block).

The PL 94-171 data in my files are the original PL 94-171, not the adjusted populations. Thanks for providing the reference to these adjusted PL 94-171 files in your Excel workbook!!

Somewhere in my process I lost two blocks: there should be 1,478 and I have only 1,476. But my population totals match the Census PL 94171 values, so my "missing blocks" are apparently

Could you upload these two alternatives as my submission? I'd like to add some written discussions about the strengths and weaknesses of each.

I think adding the 18 Hayward neighborhoods as a display option in the online mapping tool might be beneficial.

Thanks for your presentation on May 14th, and I'm looking forward to more work on this.

Chuck Purvis

#########

# Hayward Council Districts - Alternative 1
## Census Bureau PL 94-171 for 2020: Block level

Council Distri

1
2
3
4
5
6

Created: Chuck Purvis; Data sources: 2020 Census, PL 94-171

# Hayward Council Districts - Alternative 2
## Census Bureau PL 94-171 for 2020: Block level

Council Distri

1
2
3
4
5
6

Created: Chuck Purvis; Data sources: 2020 Census, PL 94-171

## FW: Map submission

1 message

**Districting** <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>                                    Tue, May 21, 2024 at 7:00 AM
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

**From:** Dave Robinson
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 21, 2024 7:00:09 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
**To:** Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
**Subject:** Map submission

Dear City of Hayward staff and consultants:

I am submitting a set of proposed districts for the city council using the Excel spreadsheet method. My spreadsheet is attached. Most notably, it has 7 districts, despite the instructions to create 6 districts. The instructions imply that the city intends to retain a mayor elected at large. I see several problems with this.

First, from the two options described in Government Code 34886, the city council's resolution 24-011 chose "a district-based election system" and not elections "by district with an elective mayor".

Second, the California Voting Rights Act (CVRA) defines only the pure district system as a "safe harbor" remedy that limits legal fees and shields a city from future lawsuits. Elections Code 14026 categorizes an electoral method as "at large" and within the crosshairs of the CVRA if any member of a governing body is elected at large. Is the mayor part of the governing body? Yes, Hayward city charter V.500 and Government Code 34903 define the mayor as a city council member. The "safe harbor" procedures defined in Elections Code 10010 rely on the definition in 14026.

Third, having 7 districts instead of 6 can increase the likelihood that CVRA protected groups can elect a candidate of their choice. So a future CVRA lawsuit could be successful, causing the city to incur additional legal and consulting fees, and causing the current process to be a waste of time.

 If you think any aspect of this argument is incorrect, please let me know. With a 7-district council, the mayor would be selected by the council from among its members, as many other California cities do.

To prepare this map, I followed all other instructions in Karin Mac Donald's presentation from the May 14 public hearing. The proposed districts are about as equal in population as can be achieved using the 123 available segments while keeping them contiguous. I did not use the spreadsheet's race/ethnicity data in forming the districts, which is apparently the correct approach under the Federal Voting Rights Act as described on slide 8 of the presentation. I did not give special consideration to the interests of the plaintiff, which we are not instructed to do by either the presentation or the CVRA. The districts are compact, being free of tentacles other than those already created by the city's outer boundary.

Regarding the communities of interest criterion, I consider this a hopeless task. Overall, if electoral outcomes are highly sensitive to how lines are drawn, there is something wrong with our electoral method. Communities rarely arrange themselves into compact, contiguous, non-overlapping regions. The best way to accommodate all communities of interest is to adopt proportional ranked-choice voting (PRCV) as an alternative CVRA remedy. This method allows communities to group themselves, without the help or interference of drawers of district lines.

PRCV is much less complicated and subjective than district line drawing. Voters make a ranked list of candidates from anywhere in the city in order of preference. If a candidate wins more than a council seat's worth of votes, an appropriate fraction of those votes goes to the next choices on voters' lists. Last-place candidates are sequentially eliminated, with votes going to next choices.

If a community of interest is dispersed throughout the city, its voters can still elect the candidate of their choice. For a PRCV-elected council, nearly all voters win accountable and satisfactory representation. This is achievable because PRCV does not pit voters against each other within districts, unnecessarily creating winners and losers among voters. PRCV does not allow the same group of voters to elect all of the candidates, as in the usual at-large method.

In our county, Albany has begun using proportional ranked-choice voting as a court-recognized CVRA remedy, and 3 other cities used ranked-choice voting within single-seat districts. After all maps are drawn, I expect that no map will be entirely satisfying to all, and the benefits of PRCV will be clearer to everyone.

Until then, we must go through the exercise of drawing maps. I have some questions about next steps:

1. Is it easy for you or me to import the Excel-drawn districts into the online mapping tool? This would allow easier visualization of maps, and allow us to make further edits using the smaller segments in that tool to obtain more equal populations.

2. Could the demographer prepare a map showing an overlay of all other district lines that bisect Hayward, such as assembly, supervisor, BART board, and park districts?  This would provide valuable context for comparison to proposed district maps, and help us avoid creating tiny slices along crisscrossing district lines requiring the Registrar of Voters to print many new permutations of ballot cards.

The spreadsheet file that I downloaded on May 19 had errors in the SUMIF formulas in row 15, columns E through O of tab 2. To reduce file size, I deleted the map tabs in my submitted file, but they correspond to those in the file I downloaded on May 19.

Thank you for providing the mapping tools to the public, and for consideration of my map.

Dave Robinson

---

📊 **HCC_DistrictingProposal_Robinson_7Dist_240519.xlsx**
43K

# Hayward City Council Districting Tool

| Name: | Dave Robinson |
|---|---|
| Org or Group: | Hayward |
| Tell Us About Your Plan: | 7-district plan for a purely by-district council and not "one that combines at-large elections with district-based elections" and is subject to future CVRA claims (Elections Code 14026). More details are explained in a cover letter. |

## District Tabulator

( Do not type anywhere on this table; Do not sort columns)

| District | Population (2020 Census) | | Citizen Voting Age Population (DOJ Special Tab 2018-2022) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Population | Dev % | Total | Latino | % Latino | NL Black | % NL Black | NL Asian | % NL Asian | NL HPI | % NL HPI | NL White | % NL White |
| USD | 163,172 | | 102,820 | 32,423 | 31.5% | 12,608 | 12.3% | 31,868 | 31.0% | 2,365 | 2.3% | 21,470 | 20.9% |
| Unassigned | 70,055 | | 38,788 | 14,015 | 36.1% | 5,658 | 14.6% | 9,755 | 25.1% | 850 | 2.2% | 7,742 | 20.0% |
| 1 | 23,266 | -0.19% | 16,591 | 4,792 | 28.9% | 1,504 | 9.1% | 7,266 | 43.8% | 251 | 1.5% | 2,367 | 14.3% |
| 2 | 22,862 | -1.92% | 13,661 | 5,142 | 37.6% | 1,295 | 9.5% | 4,310 | 31.5% | 479 | 3.5% | 2,164 | 15.8% |
| 3 | 23,932 | 2.67% | 16,803 | 4,809 | 28.6% | 1,317 | 7.8% | 6,653 | 39.6% | 511 | 3.0% | 3,212 | 19.1% |
| 4 | 23,418 | 0.46% | 12,715 | 4,332 | 34.1% | 1,565 | 12.3% | 3,788 | 29.8% | 313 | 2.5% | 2,514 | 19.8% |
| 5 | 23,336 | 0.11% | 12,058 | 5,216 | 43.3% | 1,303 | 10.8% | 2,917 | 24.2% | 365 | 3.0% | 1,909 | 15.8% |
| 6 | 23,301 | -0.04% | 14,015 | 4,467 | 31.9% | 2,790 | 19.9% | 3,050 | 21.8% | 172 | 1.2% | 3,319 | 23.7% |
| 7 | 23,057 | -1.09% | 16,977 | 3,665 | 21.6% | 2,834 | 16.7% | 3,884 | 22.9% | 274 | 1.6% | 5,985 | 35.3% |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Ideal Pop: | 23,310.29 | |
| Lowest District Dev %: | -1.9% | |
| Highest District Dev %: | 2.7% | |
| Total Plan Range | 4.59% | <~~~ A plan range up to 10% is considered legally allowable. |

## Segment List

(Only type District numbers 1-7 in the "Dist #" column; Do not type anywhere else; Do not sort columns)

# Hayward City Council Districting Tool

| Dist # | Segment | Population (2020 Census) | | Citizen Voting Age Population (DOJ Special Tab 2018-2022) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | Population | | Total | Latino | % Latino | NL Black | % NL Black | NL Asian | % NL Asian | NL HPI | % NL HPI | NL White | % NL White |
| 1 | 001 | 3,404 | | 3,076 | 935 | 30.4% | 200 | 6.5% | 1,561 | 50.7% | 60 | 2.0% | 315 | 10.2% |
| 1 | 002 | 1,758 | | 1,176 | 275 | 23.4% | 85 | 7.2% | 621 | 52.8% | 25 | 2.1% | 170 | 14.5% |
| 1 | 003 | 1,733 | | 1,358 | 381 | 28.1% | 136 | 10.0% | 705 | 51.9% | 55 | 4.1% | 60 | 4.4% |
| 1 | 004 | 1,752 | | 1,499 | 736 | 49.1% | 192 | 12.8% | 510 | 34.0% | - | 0.0% | 36 | 2.4% |
| 1 | 005 | 1,542 | | 691 | 180 | 26.0% | 26 | 3.8% | 264 | 38.2% | 15 | 2.2% | 185 | 26.8% |
| 1 | 006 | 373 | | 250 | 107 | 42.8% | 7 | 2.8% | 73 | 29.2% | - | 0.0% | 56 | 22.4% |
| 1 | 007 | 897 | | 597 | 314 | 52.6% | 39 | 6.5% | 117 | 19.6% | - | 0.0% | 129 | 21.6% |
| 1 | 008 | 1,378 | | 940 | 330 | 35.1% | 25 | 2.7% | 110 | 11.7% | 55 | 5.9% | 255 | 27.1% |
| 1 | 009 | 1,821 | | 1,220 | 485 | 39.8% | 174 | 14.3% | 301 | 24.7% | - | 0.0% | 201 | 16.5% |
| 1 | 010 | 854 | | 975 | 380 | 39.0% | 70 | 7.2% | 415 | 42.6% | 20 | 2.1% | 90 | 9.2% |
| 1 | 011 | 864 | | 576 | 240 | 41.7% | 26 | 4.5% | 214 | 37.2% | - | 0.0% | 94 | 16.3% |
| 1 | 012 | 1,239 | | 735 | 18 | 2.4% | 93 | 12.7% | 560 | 76.2% | - | 0.0% | 37 | 5.0% |
| 1 | 013 | 1,005 | | 596 | 7 | 1.2% | 48 | 8.1% | 525 | 88.1% | - | 0.0% | 33 | 5.5% |
| 1 | 014 | 1,539 | | 942 | 105 | 11.1% | 96 | 10.2% | 500 | 53.1% | - | 0.0% | 140 | 14.9% |
| 1 | 015 | 65 | | 28 | - | 0.0% | 12 | 42.9% | 1 | 3.6% | - | 0.0% | 7 | 25.0% |
| 1 | 016 | 74 | | 32 | 2 | 6.3% | 37 | 115.6% | - | 0.0% | - | 0.0% | 9 | 28.1% |
| 1 | 017 | 504 | | 221 | 2 | 0.9% | 26 | 11.8% | 44 | 19.9% | - | 0.0% | 145 | 65.6% |
| 2 | 018 | 2,304 | | 1,129 | 467 | 41.4% | 164 | 14.5% | 266 | 23.6% | 1 | 0.1% | 216 | 19.1% |
| 2 | 019 | 2,784 | | 825 | 467 | 56.6% | 11 | 1.3% | 140 | 17.0% | 80 | 9.7% | 127 | 15.4% |
| 2 | 020 | 1,129 | | 676 | 175 | 25.9% | 11 | 1.6% | 325 | 48.1% | - | 0.0% | 135 | 20.0% |
| 2 | 021 | 922 | | 450 | 130 | 28.9% | 20 | 4.4% | 70 | 15.6% | 15 | 3.3% | 210 | 46.7% |
| 2 | 022 | 1,442 | | 1,190 | 420 | 35.3% | 130 | 10.9% | 310 | 26.1% | 20 | 1.7% | 300 | 25.2% |
| 2 | 023 | 366 | | 206 | 106 | 51.5% | 7 | 3.4% | 62 | 30.1% | - | 0.0% | 31 | 15.0% |
| 2 | 024 | 1,118 | | 631 | 185 | 29.3% | 53 | 8.4% | 233 | 36.9% | 4 | 0.6% | 149 | 23.6% |
| 2 | 025 | 802 | | 570 | 105 | 18.4% | 25 | 4.4% | 310 | 54.4% | 15 | 2.6% | 115 | 20.2% |
| 2 | 026 | 916 | | 767 | 270 | 35.2% | 157 | 20.5% | 210 | 27.4% | 15 | 2.0% | 110 | 14.3% |
| 2 | 027 | 1,364 | | 676 | 171 | 25.3% | 74 | 10.9% | 214 | 31.7% | 38 | 5.6% | 132 | 19.5% |
| 2 | 028 | 1,083 | | 791 | 254 | 32.1% | 128 | 16.2% | 231 | 29.2% | 27 | 3.4% | 108 | 13.7% |
| 2 | 029 | 1,502 | | 1,064 | 260 | 24.4% | 133 | 12.5% | 516 | 48.5% | 11 | 1.0% | 135 | 12.7% |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 2 | 030 | 1,231 | | 935 | 268 | 28.7% | 16 | 1.7% | 595 | 63.6% | 4 | 0.4% | 56 | 6.0% |
| 2 | 031 | 1,140 | | 664 | 307 | 46.2% | 98 | 14.8% | 198 | 29.8% | 33 | 5.0% | 15 | 2.3% |
| 1 | 032 | 1,556 | | 1,127 | 226 | 20.1% | 176 | 15.6% | 539 | 47.8% | 2 | 0.2% | 198 | 17.6% |
| 1 | 033 | 908 | | 552 | 69 | 12.5% | 36 | 6.5% | 206 | 37.3% | 19 | 3.4% | 207 | 37.5% |
| 2 | 034 | 3,232 | | 1,974 | 966 | 48.9% | 125 | 6.3% | 457 | 23.2% | 155 | 7.9% | 226 | 11.4% |
| 2 | 035 | 1,527 | | 1,113 | 591 | 53.1% | 143 | 12.8% | 173 | 15.5% | 61 | 5.5% | 99 | 8.9% |
| 3 | 036 | 1,726 | | 1,260 | 109 | 8.7% | 33 | 2.6% | 675 | 53.6% | - | 0.0% | 475 | 37.7% |
| 3 | 037 | 175 | | 126 | 16 | 12.7% | 18 | 14.3% | 10 | 7.9% | - | 0.0% | 50 | 39.7% |
| 3 | 038 | 1,142 | | 859 | 273 | 31.8% | 23 | 2.7% | 236 | 27.5% | 97 | 11.3% | 281 | 32.7% |
| 3 | 039 | 1,610 | | 1,206 | 312 | 25.9% | 62 | 5.1% | 589 | 48.8% | 63 | 5.2% | 69 | 5.7% |
| 3 | 040 | 1,275 | | 905 | 297 | 32.8% | 72 | 8.0% | 252 | 27.8% | 30 | 3.3% | 112 | 12.4% |
| 3 | 041 | 1,611 | | 1,131 | 274 | 24.2% | 73 | 6.5% | 462 | 40.8% | 87 | 7.7% | 179 | 15.8% |
| 3 | 042 | 1,459 | | 1,010 | 239 | 23.7% | 98 | 9.7% | 467 | 46.2% | 28 | 2.8% | 170 | 16.8% |
| 3 | 043 | 1,157 | | 1,063 | 532 | 50.0% | 45 | 4.2% | 385 | 36.2% | 25 | 2.4% | 65 | 6.1% |
| 3 | 044 | 1,871 | | 1,010 | 195 | 19.3% | 35 | 3.5% | 365 | 36.1% | 130 | 12.9% | 280 | 27.7% |
| 3 | 045 | 1,731 | | 944 | 511 | 54.1% | 92 | 9.7% | 225 | 23.8% | - | 0.0% | 120 | 12.7% |
| 3 | 046 | 1,805 | | 2,051 | 285 | 13.9% | 185 | 9.0% | 1,475 | 71.9% | - | 0.0% | 96 | 4.7% |
| 3 | 047 | 1,134 | | 645 | 125 | 19.4% | 10 | 1.6% | 315 | 48.8% | 4 | 0.6% | 190 | 29.5% |
| 3 | 048 | 1,015 | | 567 | 236 | 41.6% | 91 | 16.0% | 90 | 15.9% | - | 0.0% | 125 | 22.0% |
| 3 | 049 | 1,217 | | 894 | 306 | 34.2% | 148 | 16.6% | 290 | 32.4% | 24 | 2.7% | 137 | 15.3% |
| 3 | 050 | 1,376 | | 431 | 211 | 49.0% | 9 | 2.1% | 110 | 25.5% | 7 | 1.6% | 83 | 19.3% |
| 3 | 051 | 1,270 | | 977 | 575 | 58.9% | 51 | 5.2% | 105 | 10.7% | 10 | 1.0% | 215 | 22.0% |
| 3 | 052 | 2,358 | | 1,724 | 313 | 18.2% | 272 | 15.8% | 602 | 34.9% | 6 | 0.3% | 565 | 32.8% |
| 4 | 053 | 873 | | 426 | 105 | 24.6% | 21 | 4.9% | 50 | 11.7% | - | 0.0% | 250 | 58.7% |
| 4 | 054 | 2,693 | | 1,864 | 193 | 10.4% | 269 | 14.4% | 1,205 | 64.6% | 14 | 0.8% | 199 | 10.7% |
| 7 | 055 | 753 | | 479 | 161 | 33.6% | 59 | 12.3% | 117 | 24.4% | 71 | 14.8% | 75 | 15.7% |
| 4 | 056 | 607 | | 384 | 188 | 49.0% | 23 | 6.0% | 88 | 22.9% | 35 | 9.1% | 23 | 6.0% |
| 4 | 057 | 1,123 | | 443 | 152 | 34.3% | 49 | 11.1% | 90 | 20.3% | 20 | 4.5% | 135 | 30.5% |
| 4 | 058 | 1,173 | | 708 | 417 | 58.9% | 135 | 19.1% | 50 | 7.1% | 20 | 2.8% | 85 | 12.0% |
| 4 | 059 | 791 | | 469 | 47 | 10.0% | 44 | 9.4% | 273 | 58.2% | 9 | 1.9% | 94 | 20.0% |
| 4 | 060 | 1,337 | | 796 | 188 | 23.6% | 58 | 7.3% | 327 | 41.1% | 11 | 1.4% | 190 | 23.9% |
| 4 | 061 | 1,507 | | 1,446 | 545 | 37.7% | 150 | 10.4% | 310 | 21.4% | 45 | 3.1% | 401 | 27.7% |
| 4 | 062 | 2,185 | | 1,058 | 337 | 31.9% | 111 | 10.5% | 250 | 23.6% | 60 | 5.7% | 285 | 26.9% |

# Hayward City Council Districting Tool

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 4 | 063 | 857 | | 291 | 80 | 27.5% | 103 | 35.4% | 55 | 18.9% | - | 0.0% | 41 | 14.1% |
| 4 | 064 | 1,714 | | 297 | 96 | 32.3% | - | 0.0% | 35 | 11.8% | 25 | 8.4% | 121 | 40.7% |
| 4 | 065 | 1,125 | | 418 | 326 | 78.0% | 76 | 18.2% | 15 | 3.6% | - | 0.0% | 1 | 0.2% |
| 4 | 066 | 805 | | 456 | 342 | 75.0% | 1 | 0.2% | 14 | 3.1% | - | 0.0% | 33 | 7.2% |
| 4 | 067 | 944 | | 290 | 91 | 31.4% | 154 | 53.1% | 40 | 13.8% | 10 | 3.4% | - | 0.0% |
| 4 | 068 | 768 | | 432 | 365 | 84.5% | - | 0.0% | 11 | 2.5% | - | 0.0% | 7 | 1.6% |
| 4 | 069 | 1,088 | | 492 | 100 | 20.3% | 106 | 21.5% | 163 | 33.1% | 6 | 1.2% | 147 | 29.9% |
| 4 | 070 | 774 | | 348 | 93 | 26.7% | 53 | 15.2% | 93 | 26.7% | 8 | 2.3% | 76 | 21.8% |
| 4 | 071 | 1,111 | | 763 | 169 | 22.1% | 101 | 13.2% | 322 | 42.2% | 19 | 2.5% | 137 | 18.0% |
| 4 | 072 | 436 | | 343 | 88 | 25.7% | 34 | 9.9% | 101 | 29.4% | 7 | 2.0% | 112 | 32.7% |
| 4 | 073 | 1,507 | | 991 | 410 | 41.4% | 77 | 7.8% | 296 | 29.9% | 24 | 2.4% | 177 | 17.9% |
| 5 | 074 | 1,040 | | 687 | 358 | 52.1% | 38 | 5.5% | 139 | 20.2% | 26 | 3.8% | 108 | 15.7% |
| 5 | 075 | 965 | | 746 | 240 | 32.2% | 50 | 6.7% | 215 | 28.8% | 1 | 0.1% | 240 | 32.2% |
| 5 | 076 | 2,150 | | 702 | 400 | 57.0% | 41 | 5.8% | 95 | 13.5% | 60 | 8.5% | 75 | 10.7% |
| 5 | 077 | 2,653 | | 1,099 | 369 | 33.6% | 120 | 10.9% | 175 | 15.9% | 175 | 15.9% | 220 | 20.0% |
| 5 | 078 | 1,861 | | 936 | 335 | 35.8% | 120 | 12.8% | 376 | 40.2% | 4 | 0.4% | 95 | 10.1% |
| 5 | 079 | 1,487 | | 1,012 | 485 | 47.9% | 142 | 14.0% | 255 | 25.2% | 10 | 1.0% | 50 | 4.9% |
| 5 | 080 | 2,482 | | 1,327 | 466 | 35.1% | 126 | 9.5% | 500 | 37.7% | 35 | 2.6% | 145 | 10.9% |
| 5 | 081 | 1,862 | | 1,251 | 620 | 49.6% | 25 | 2.0% | 280 | 22.4% | 30 | 2.4% | 236 | 18.9% |
| 5 | 082 | 880 | | 337 | 219 | 65.0% | 26 | 7.7% | 57 | 16.9% | 4 | 1.2% | 39 | 11.6% |
| 5 | 083 | 2,120 | | 1,093 | 582 | 53.2% | 185 | 16.9% | 201 | 18.4% | - | 0.0% | 100 | 9.1% |
| 5 | 084 | 1,585 | | 782 | 218 | 27.9% | 163 | 20.8% | 183 | 23.4% | - | 0.0% | 207 | 26.5% |
| 5 | 085 | 1,180 | | 451 | 161 | 35.7% | 15 | 3.3% | 208 | 46.1% | 16 | 3.5% | 41 | 9.1% |
| 5 | 086 | 1,915 | | 986 | 403 | 40.9% | 228 | 23.1% | 159 | 16.1% | - | 0.0% | 165 | 16.7% |
| 5 | 087 | 1,156 | | 649 | 360 | 55.5% | 24 | 3.7% | 74 | 11.4% | 4 | 0.6% | 188 | 29.0% |
| 6 | 088 | 1,024 | | 876 | 546 | 62.3% | 60 | 6.8% | 105 | 12.0% | - | 0.0% | 165 | 18.8% |
| 6 | 089 | 3,243 | | 1,799 | 344 | 19.1% | 416 | 23.1% | 821 | 45.6% | - | 0.0% | 218 | 12.1% |
| 6 | 090 | 2,040 | | 1,312 | 574 | 43.8% | 246 | 18.8% | 354 | 27.0% | - | 0.0% | 153 | 11.7% |
| 6 | 091 | 1,655 | | 744 | 339 | 45.6% | 6 | 0.8% | 191 | 25.7% | 4 | 0.5% | 188 | 25.3% |
| 6 | 092 | 1,416 | | 899 | 415 | 46.2% | 228 | 25.4% | 135 | 15.0% | - | 0.0% | 105 | 11.7% |
| 6 | 093 | 1,469 | | 886 | 75 | 8.5% | 106 | 12.0% | 375 | 42.3% | 10 | 1.1% | 300 | 33.9% |
| 6 | 094 | 1,183 | | 468 | 261 | 55.8% | 101 | 21.6% | 36 | 7.7% | 2 | 0.4% | 83 | 17.7% |
| 6 | 095 | 1,353 | | 1,039 | 341 | 32.8% | 121 | 11.6% | 96 | 9.2% | 21 | 2.0% | 453 | 43.6% |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 6 | 096 | 689 | | 566 | 156 | 27.6% | 44 | 7.8% | 49 | 8.7% | 23 | 4.1% | 233 | 41.2% |
| 6 | 097 | 899 | | 723 | 95 | 13.1% | 162 | 22.4% | 216 | 29.9% | 24 | 3.3% | 189 | 26.1% |
| 6 | 098 | 1,363 | | 580 | 312 | 53.8% | 88 | 15.2% | 76 | 13.1% | 17 | 2.9% | 54 | 9.3% |
| 6 | 099 | 331 | | 141 | 61 | 43.3% | 23 | 16.3% | 29 | 20.6% | 1 | 0.7% | 18 | 12.8% |
| 6 | 100 | 597 | | 254 | 62 | 24.4% | 69 | 27.2% | 130 | 51.2% | 2 | 0.8% | 13 | 5.1% |
| 6 | 101 | 1,340 | | 572 | 119 | 20.8% | 236 | 41.3% | 32 | 5.6% | 37 | 6.5% | 182 | 31.8% |
| 6 | 102 | 1,070 | | 791 | 127 | 16.1% | 75 | 9.5% | 131 | 16.6% | 31 | 3.9% | 405 | 51.2% |
| 6 | 103 | 957 | | 654 | 137 | 20.9% | 426 | 65.1% | 46 | 7.0% | - | 0.0% | 100 | 15.3% |
| 6 | 104 | 917 | | 620 | 125 | 20.2% | 207 | 33.4% | 77 | 12.4% | - | 0.0% | 195 | 31.5% |
| 6 | 105 | 872 | | 588 | 194 | 33.0% | 96 | 16.3% | 68 | 11.6% | - | 0.0% | 128 | 21.8% |
| 6 | 106 | 551 | | 314 | 104 | 33.1% | 60 | 19.1% | 51 | 16.2% | - | 0.0% | 97 | 30.9% |
| 6 | 107 | 332 | | 189 | 80 | 42.3% | 20 | 10.6% | 32 | 16.9% | - | 0.0% | 40 | 21.2% |
| 7 | 108 | 776 | | 482 | 139 | 28.8% | 87 | 18.0% | 75 | 15.6% | - | 0.0% | 148 | 30.7% |
| 7 | 109 | 787 | | 510 | 65 | 12.7% | 180 | 35.3% | 45 | 8.8% | - | 0.0% | 220 | 43.1% |
| 7 | 110 | 396 | | 308 | 84 | 27.3% | 20 | 6.5% | 66 | 21.4% | 7 | 2.3% | 74 | 24.0% |
| 7 | 111 | 1,070 | | 834 | 206 | 24.7% | 65 | 7.8% | 128 | 15.3% | 7 | 0.8% | 454 | 54.4% |
| 7 | 112 | 2,461 | | 1,907 | 606 | 31.8% | 374 | 19.6% | 415 | 21.8% | - | 0.0% | 442 | 23.2% |
| 7 | 113 | 424 | | 254 | 67 | 26.4% | 58 | 22.8% | 45 | 17.7% | - | 0.0% | 105 | 41.3% |
| 7 | 114 | 1,408 | | 1,121 | 60 | 5.4% | 281 | 25.1% | 260 | 23.2% | 30 | 2.7% | 460 | 41.0% |
| 7 | 115 | 1,137 | | 849 | 232 | 27.3% | 2 | 0.2% | 55 | 6.5% | 80 | 9.4% | 480 | 56.5% |
| 7 | 116 | 1,433 | | 652 | 155 | 23.8% | 96 | 14.7% | 155 | 23.8% | 20 | 3.1% | 216 | 33.1% |
| 7 | 117 | 1,656 | | 1,166 | 557 | 47.8% | 93 | 8.0% | 75 | 6.4% | 35 | 3.0% | 361 | 31.0% |
| 7 | 118 | 1,876 | | 1,600 | 565 | 35.3% | 289 | 18.1% | 385 | 24.1% | 4 | 0.3% | 330 | 20.6% |
| 7 | 119 | 2,293 | | 1,775 | 160 | 9.0% | 381 | 21.5% | 480 | 27.0% | - | 0.0% | 750 | 42.3% |
| 7 | 120 | 1,130 | | 648 | 48 | 7.4% | 88 | 13.6% | 162 | 25.0% | - | 0.0% | 368 | 56.8% |
| 7 | 121 | 1,703 | | 1,597 | 451 | 28.2% | 365 | 22.9% | 370 | 23.2% | - | 0.0% | 356 | 22.3% |
| 7 | 122 | 2,281 | | 1,703 | 89 | 5.2% | 270 | 15.9% | 430 | 25.2% | - | 0.0% | 905 | 53.1% |
| 7 | 123 | 1,473 | | 1,092 | 20 | 1.8% | 126 | 11.5% | 621 | 56.9% | 20 | 1.8% | 241 | 22.1% |

# TRIPEPI SMITH
marketing • technology • public affairs



## FW: Your District Plan has been submitted!

1 message

**Districting** <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>                                          Tue, May 21, 2024 at 2:43 PM
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

From: No-Reply <no-reply@publicredistricting.com>
Sent: Tuesda , Ma  21, 2024 2:42:27 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: ~~[redacted]~~  Districting
Subject: Your District Plan has been submitted!

Thank you for submitting the map and description of your district plan. You can stay up to date on the redistricting process by checking the city's website:

A copy of your District submission is attached in these three formats: a PDF, a shapefile, and an equivalency file.

The shapefile and equivalency file can be used in mapping software. The PDF is an easy way to PRINT (show others) what you sent to the Commission, or share it ELECTRONICALLY or on social media to encourage friends and family to participate in this important process.

Thank you again for your participation!

---

**13 attachments**

📄 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436.csv**
10K

📕 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436_44.pdf**
30K

📕 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436.pdf**
18K

📄 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436.dbf**
8K

📄 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436.txt**
1K

📄 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436.shx**
1K

📄 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202438.csv**
39K

📕 **MyCityCouncil_05212024_44.pdf**
30K

📄 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436.cst**
1K

📕 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202438_44.pdf**
30K

📕 **MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202438.pdf**
18K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436.prj**
1K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436.shp**
10K

Submission ID: None

Plan name: Test Plan

District type: City Council District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments:

Submitted by:

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the City Council: N

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
| --- | --- | --- |

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_0521202436_Test Plane ignore

Plan name: Test Plane ignore

District type: City Council District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Please ignore this submission it's a test

Submitted by: By Linus

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the City Council: Y

District name : Test District Please ignore

% Deviation : 1.34

Comments :

District name : Test District Please ignore

% Deviation : 1.34

Comments :

District name : Test District Please ignore

% Deviation : 1.34

Comments :

# FW: My Community Map

1 message

**Districting** <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>                                      Thu, May 23, 2024 at 8:24 AM
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

> **CAUTION:** This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

**From:** Hayward Community Coalition ▓▓▓▓▓▓▓▓
**Sent:** Thursday, May 23, 2024 8:21:2  AM  UT -  :    Pac  c Time (US & Canada)
**To:** districting@husd.us <districting@husd.us>; Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
**Subject:** Fwd: My Community Map

> **CAUTION:** This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Hello,

Attached is a map created by a member of our community!

Regards,
HayCoCoa

---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: **Lorraina Hernandez** ▓▓▓▓▓▓
Date: Wed, May 22, 2024 at 7:47 PM
Subject: My Community Map
To: ▓▓▓▓▓▓▓▓▓


Thanks for the zoom! Super cool and good to know. Here's my community map.

Best,

Lorraina Hernandez
**Rainy Designs**
*Web Development & Design | WCAG Compliant*

---

📄 **North Hayward.zip**
5K

## FW: Community of Interest

1 message

**Districting** <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>                                    Thu, May 23, 2024 at 8:30 AM
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

> **CAUTION:**This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

**From:** Collin Thormoto ▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨
**Sent:** Thursday, May 23, 2024 8:29:54 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
**To:** Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>; districting@husd.us <districting@husd.us>
**Subject:** Community of Interest

> **CAUTION:**This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Hello,

Attached is my community of interest, to be used in the districting process.

All the best,
Collin Thormoto
Hayward Resident

---

📁 **MyCACommunity_05232024.zip**
9K

## FW: Map

1 message

**Districting** <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>                    Fri, May 24, 2024 at 7:44 AM
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

**From:** Virginia Ferea
**Sent:** Friday, May 24, 2024 7:44:38 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
**To:** Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
**Subject:** Map

Can you send me a district map

![TRIPEPI SMITH logo — marketing • technology • public affairs]

# FW: KQED Media Request - Hayward Districting following settlement - 3 p.m. deadline

1 message

**Districting** <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>                    Fri, May 24, 2024 at 10:02 AM
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

From: Daniel Hernandez ▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨
Sent: Friday, May 24, 2024 10:01:59 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: Districting
Subject: KQED Media Request - Hayward Districting following settlement - 3 p.m. deadline

Hello,

My name is Daniel and I am a reporter for KQED.

I am reaching out regarding a press release about Hayward's commitment to districting for voting on city council members via districts.

I am asking for a quick 10-15 minute interview over the phone to talk about how the process is going, especially after the first meeting on May 14 and the upcoming meeting at the end of the month.

I have a 2 p.m. deadline and can talk at a representative's earliest convenience. If so, what's the best number to reach?

Thanks,
Daniel

# FW: Hayward District Formation - New submission from Contact Us

1 message

**Districting** <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>                          Sat, May 25, 2024 at 9:01 AM
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

> **CAUTION:** This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

**From:** ▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨
**Sent:** Saturday, May 25, 2024 9:00:50 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
**To:** ▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨ Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
**Subject:** Hayward District Formation - New submission from Contact Us

> **CAUTION:** This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

**Name**

ron dingel

**Email**

▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨

**Message**

I for one want to vote on all my city council members not just one

# FW: Your District Plan has been submitted!

1 message

**Districting** <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>                                            Sun, May 26, 2024 at 3:34 PM
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

_____
From: No-Reply <no-reply@publicredistricting.com>
Sent: Sunday, May 26, 2024 3:33:16 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: ✕✕✕✕✕✕✕✕ Districting
Subject: Your District Plan has been submitted!

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Thank you for submitting the map and description of your district plan. You can stay up to date on the districting process by checking the city's website maphayward.org:

A copy of your District submission is attached in these three formats: a PDF, a shapefile, and an equivalency file.

The shapefile and equivalency file can be used in mapping software. The PDF is an easy way to PRINT (show others) what you sent to the City Council, or share it ELECTRONICALLY or on social media to encourage friends and family to participate in this important process.

Thank you again for your participation!

---

**14 attachments**

    **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443.pdf**
    19K

    **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443.shx**
    1K

    **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443.cst**
    1K

    **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443_62.pdf**
    35K

    **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443_67.pdf**
    30K

    **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443.shp**
    56K

    **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443_63.pdf**
    33K

    **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443_61.pdf**
    37K

    **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443_66.pdf**
    30K

    **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443.dbf**
    36K

    **MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443.csv**
    36K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443.txt**
2K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443.prj**
1K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443_65.pdf**
30K

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_0526202443_Atherton_1

Plan name: Atherton_1

District type: City Council District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: These districts were constructed in a clockwise fashion, starting with Downtown / North (North Hayward, Downtown, Upper B Street, Burbank); to the Hayward Hills (Mission-Foothill, Hayward Highlands, Mission-Garin); to South Hayward (Fairway Park, Tennyson-Alquire); to Eden (Eden Shores, Glen Eden, Mt. Eden); to Northwest Hayward (Southgate, Longwood-Winton-Grove, Santa Clara); and finishing with the Triangle (Jackson Triangle, Whitman-Mocine. Harder-Tennyson). All districts are within 5 percent of the ideal size, and four of the six districts are within 1 percent of ideal district size. This plan would have two Asian plurality districts (Hills, Eden); and four Latino plurality districts (Downtown, South, Triangle, Northwest).

Submitted by: Chuck Purvis

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the City Council: Y

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
|---|---|---|
| Hills | -2.1 | |
| Northwest | -0.34 | |
| Eden | -0.21 | |
| Downtown North | 0.36 | |
| South | 1.07 | |
| Triangle | 0.86 | |

District name : Downtown North

% Deviation : 0.36

Comments :

District name : Triangle

% Deviation : 0.86

Comments :

District name : Eden

% Deviation : -0.21

Comments :

District name : Hills

% Deviation : -2.1

Comments :

District name : South

% Deviation : 1.07

Comments :

District name : Northwest

% Deviation : -0.34

Comments :

## FW: Ideal district population

1 message

**Districting** <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>                                      Sun, May 26, 2024 at 6:00 PM
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

> **CAUTION:**This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

**From:** Grant Sakakihara ▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨
**Sent:** Sunday, May 26, 2024 5:58:27 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
**To:** Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
**Subject:** Ideal district population

> **CAUTION:**This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Hello,

The City of Hayward Districting Tool User Guide (https://files.publicredistricting.com/user_guide.pdf) states that the ideal district population is 22,237 (page 1). However, it appears based on the population data on the publicredistricting.com website, the ideal population per district must be around 27,000. Can the User Guide or the website be updated so that users know how much the ideal population is before they start making districts on the website?

Thanks!

Grant

# TRIPEPI SMITH
marketing • technology • public affairs

## FW: Your District Plan has been submitted!

1 message

**Districting** <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>                                    Mon, May 27, 2024 at 9:21 PM
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

_____
From: No-Reply <no-reply@publicredistricting.com>
Sent: Monday, May 27, 2024 9:20:26 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: ████████████ Districting
Subject: Your District Plan has been submitted!

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Thank you for submitting the map and description of your district plan. You can stay up to date on the districting process by checking the city's website maphayward.org:

A copy of your District submission is attached in these three formats: a PDF, a shapefile, and an equivalency file.

The shapefile and equivalency file can be used in mapping software. The PDF is an easy way to PRINT (show others) what you sent to the City Council, or share it ELECTRONICALLY or on social media to encourage friends and family to participate in this important process.

Thank you again for your participation!

---

**14 attachments**

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441.pdf**
18K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441_54.pdf**
32K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441_50.pdf**
28K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441.txt**
1K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441.csv**
28K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441_53.pdf**
30K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441.shp**
56K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441.shx**
1K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441.dbf**
36K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441.prj**
1K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441_51.pdf**
30K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441.cst**
1K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441_52.pdf**
36K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441_49.pdf**
30K

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_0527202441_Plan A

Plan name: Plan A

District type: City Council District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: Compact, contiguous, nearly equal districts prepared without looking at the census category numbers. I will submit an identical spreadsheet version by email.

Submitted by: Dave Robinson

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the City Council: Y

| District name | % Deviation | Comments |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 4 | 1.69 | |
| 3 | 0.68 | |
| 1 | -0.21 | |
| 2 | -2.25 | |
| 5 | 1.36 | |
| 6 | -1.38 | |

District name : 1

% Deviation : -0.21

Comments :

District name : 2

% Deviation : -2.25

Comments :

District name : 3

% Deviation : 0.68

Comments :

District name : 4

% Deviation : 1.69

Comments :

District name : 5

% Deviation : 1.36

Comments :

District name : 6

% Deviation : -1.38

Comments :

## TRIPEPI SMITH
marketing • technology • public affairs

# FW: District spreadsheet submission

1 message

**Districting** <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>                                      Mon, May 27, 2024 at 9:26 PM
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

> **CAUTION:**This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

**From:** Dave Robinson ▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨
**Sent:** Monday, May 27, 2024 9:25:06 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
**To:** Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
**Subject:** District spreadsheet submission

> **CAUTION:**This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

I have attached a city council districting proposal prepared using the spreadsheet tool. I separately created and submitted an identical proposal using the online mapping tool.  A map generated by the online tool is on a separate tab.

📊 **HCC_DistrictingProposal_Robinson_6Dist_240525.xlsx**
1852K

# Hayward City Council Districting Tool

| | |
|---|---|
| **Name:** | Dave Robinson |
| **Org or Group:** | Hayward at large |
| **Tell Us About Your Plan:** | Compact, contiguous, nearly equal districts prepared without looking at the census category numbers. |

## District Tabulator

### ( Do not type anywhere on this table; Do not sort columns)

| District | Population (2020 Census) | | Citizen Voting Age Population (DOJ Special Tab 2018-2022) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Population | Dev % | Total | Latino | % Latino | NL Black | % NL Black | NL Asian | % NL Asian | NL HPI | % NL HPI | NL White | % NL White |
| City | 163,172 | | 102,820 | 32,423 | 31.5% | 12,608 | 12.3% | 31,868 | 31.0% | 2,365 | 2.3% | 21,470 | 20.9% |
| Unassigned | - | | - | - | 0.0% | - | 0.0% | - | 0.0% | - | 0.0% | - | 0.0% |
| 1 | 27,139 | -0.21% | 19,254 | 5,627 | 29.2% | 1,751 | 9.1% | 8,575 | 44.5% | 299 | 1.6% | 2,573 | 13.4% |
| 2 | 26,582 | -2.26% | 15,239 | 5,809 | 38.1% | 1,495 | 9.8% | 4,542 | 29.8% | 299 | 2.0% | 2,740 | 18.0% |
| 3 | 27,570 | 1.38% | 15,669 | 5,303 | 33.8% | 3,083 | 19.7% | 3,319 | 21.2% | 192 | 1.2% | 3,590 | 22.9% |
| 4 | 27,495 | 1.10% | 19,855 | 4,157 | 20.9% | 3,220 | 16.2% | 5,207 | 26.2% | 288 | 1.5% | 6,562 | 33.0% |
| 5 | 27,566 | 1.36% | 13,923 | 5,356 | 38.5% | 1,509 | 10.8% | 3,307 | 23.8% | 690 | 5.0% | 2,748 | 19.7% |
| 6 | 26,820 | -1.38% | 18,880 | 6,171 | 32.7% | 1,550 | 8.2% | 6,918 | 36.6% | 597 | 3.2% | 3,257 | 17.3% |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| **Ideal Pop:** | **27,195.33** | |
| *Lowest District Dev %:* | -2.3% | |
| *Highest District Dev %:* | 1.4% | |
| **Total Plan Range** | **3.63%** | *<~~~ A plan range up to 10% is considered legally allowable.* |

## Segment List

### (Only type District numbers 1-7 in the "Dist #" column; Do not type anywhere else; Do not sort columns)

# Hayward City Council Districting Tool

| Dist # | Segment | Population (2020 Census) Population | | Citizen Voting Age Population (DOJ Special Tab 2018-2022) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | Total | Latino | % Latino | NL Black | % NL Black | NL Asian | % NL Asian | NL HPI | % NL HPI | NL White | % NL White |
| 1 | 001 | 3,404 | | 3,076 | 935 | 30.4% | 200 | 6.5% | 1,561 | 50.7% | 60 | 2.0% | 315 | 10.2% |
| 1 | 002 | 1,758 | | 1,176 | 275 | 23.4% | 85 | 7.2% | 621 | 52.8% | 25 | 2.1% | 170 | 14.5% |
| 1 | 003 | 1,733 | | 1,358 | 381 | 28.1% | 136 | 10.0% | 705 | 51.9% | 55 | 4.1% | 60 | 4.4% |
| 1 | 004 | 1,752 | | 1,499 | 736 | 49.1% | 192 | 12.8% | 510 | 34.0% | - | 0.0% | 36 | 2.4% |
| 1 | 005 | 1,542 | | 691 | 180 | 26.0% | 26 | 3.8% | 264 | 38.2% | 15 | 2.2% | 185 | 26.8% |
| 1 | 006 | 373 | | 250 | 107 | 42.8% | 7 | 2.8% | 73 | 29.2% | - | 0.0% | 56 | 22.4% |
| 1 | 007 | 897 | | 597 | 314 | 52.6% | 39 | 6.5% | 117 | 19.6% | - | 0.0% | 129 | 21.6% |
| 1 | 008 | 1,378 | | 940 | 330 | 35.1% | 25 | 2.7% | 110 | 11.7% | 55 | 5.9% | 255 | 27.1% |
| 1 | 009 | 1,821 | | 1,220 | 485 | 39.8% | 174 | 14.3% | 301 | 24.7% | - | 0.0% | 201 | 16.5% |
| 1 | 010 | 854 | | 975 | 380 | 39.0% | 70 | 7.2% | 415 | 42.6% | 20 | 2.1% | 90 | 9.2% |
| 1 | 011 | 864 | | 576 | 240 | 41.7% | 26 | 4.5% | 214 | 37.2% | - | 0.0% | 94 | 16.3% |
| 1 | 012 | 1,239 | | 735 | 18 | 2.4% | 93 | 12.7% | 560 | 76.2% | - | 0.0% | 37 | 5.0% |
| 1 | 013 | 1,005 | | 596 | 7 | 1.2% | 48 | 8.1% | 525 | 88.1% | - | 0.0% | 33 | 5.5% |
| 1 | 014 | 1,539 | | 942 | 105 | 11.1% | 96 | 10.2% | 500 | 53.1% | - | 0.0% | 140 | 14.9% |
| 1 | 015 | 65 | | 28 | - | 0.0% | 12 | 42.9% | 1 | 3.6% | - | 0.0% | 7 | 25.0% |
| 1 | 016 | 74 | | 32 | 2 | 6.3% | 37 | 115.6% | - | 0.0% | - | 0.0% | 9 | 28.1% |
| 1 | 017 | 504 | | 221 | 2 | 0.9% | 26 | 11.8% | 44 | 19.9% | - | 0.0% | 145 | 65.6% |
| 2 | 018 | 2,304 | | 1,129 | 467 | 41.4% | 164 | 14.5% | 266 | 23.6% | 1 | 0.1% | 216 | 19.1% |
| 2 | 019 | 2,784 | | 825 | 467 | 56.6% | 11 | 1.3% | 140 | 17.0% | 80 | 9.7% | 127 | 15.4% |
| 2 | 020 | 1,129 | | 676 | 175 | 25.9% | 11 | 1.6% | 325 | 48.1% | - | 0.0% | 135 | 20.0% |
| 2 | 021 | 922 | | 450 | 130 | 28.9% | 20 | 4.4% | 70 | 15.6% | 15 | 3.3% | 210 | 46.7% |
| 2 | 022 | 1,442 | | 1,190 | 420 | 35.3% | 130 | 10.9% | 310 | 26.1% | 20 | 1.7% | 300 | 25.2% |
| 2 | 023 | 366 | | 206 | 106 | 51.5% | 7 | 3.4% | 62 | 30.1% | - | 0.0% | 31 | 15.0% |
| 2 | 024 | 1,118 | | 631 | 185 | 29.3% | 53 | 8.4% | 233 | 36.9% | 4 | 0.6% | 149 | 23.6% |
| 2 | 025 | 802 | | 570 | 105 | 18.4% | 25 | 4.4% | 310 | 54.4% | 15 | 2.6% | 115 | 20.2% |
| 2 | 026 | 916 | | 767 | 270 | 35.2% | 157 | 20.5% | 210 | 27.4% | 15 | 2.0% | 110 | 14.3% |
| 2 | 027 | 1,364 | | 676 | 171 | 25.3% | 74 | 10.9% | 214 | 31.7% | 38 | 5.6% | 132 | 19.5% |
| 2 | 028 | 1,083 | | 791 | 254 | 32.1% | 128 | 16.2% | 231 | 29.2% | 27 | 3.4% | 108 | 13.7% |
| 1 | 029 | 1,502 | | 1,064 | 260 | 24.4% | 133 | 12.5% | 516 | 48.5% | 11 | 1.0% | 135 | 12.7% |

# Hayward City Council Districting Tool

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | 030 | 1,231 | | 935 | 268 | 28.7% | 16 | 1.7% | 595 | 63.6% | 4 | 0.4% | 56 | 6.0% |
| 1 | 031 | 1,140 | | 664 | 307 | 46.2% | 98 | 14.8% | 198 | 29.8% | 33 | 5.0% | 15 | 2.3% |
| 1 | 032 | 1,556 | | 1,127 | 226 | 20.1% | 176 | 15.6% | 539 | 47.8% | 2 | 0.2% | 198 | 17.6% |
| 1 | 033 | 908 | | 552 | 69 | 12.5% | 36 | 6.5% | 206 | 37.3% | 19 | 3.4% | 207 | 37.5% |
| 6 | 034 | 3,232 | | 1,974 | 966 | 48.9% | 125 | 6.3% | 457 | 23.2% | 155 | 7.9% | 226 | 11.4% |
| 6 | 035 | 1,527 | | 1,113 | 591 | 53.1% | 143 | 12.8% | 173 | 15.5% | 61 | 5.5% | 99 | 8.9% |
| 6 | 036 | 1,726 | | 1,260 | 109 | 8.7% | 33 | 2.6% | 675 | 53.6% | - | 0.0% | 475 | 37.7% |
| 6 | 037 | 175 | | 126 | 16 | 12.7% | 18 | 14.3% | 10 | 7.9% | - | 0.0% | 50 | 39.7% |
| 6 | 038 | 1,142 | | 859 | 273 | 31.8% | 23 | 2.7% | 236 | 27.5% | 97 | 11.3% | 281 | 32.7% |
| 6 | 039 | 1,610 | | 1,206 | 312 | 25.9% | 62 | 5.1% | 589 | 48.8% | 63 | 5.2% | 69 | 5.7% |
| 6 | 040 | 1,275 | | 905 | 297 | 32.8% | 72 | 8.0% | 252 | 27.8% | 30 | 3.3% | 112 | 12.4% |
| 6 | 041 | 1,611 | | 1,131 | 274 | 24.2% | 73 | 6.5% | 462 | 40.8% | 87 | 7.7% | 179 | 15.8% |
| 6 | 042 | 1,459 | | 1,010 | 239 | 23.7% | 98 | 9.7% | 467 | 46.2% | 28 | 2.8% | 170 | 16.8% |
| 6 | 043 | 1,157 | | 1,063 | 532 | 50.0% | 45 | 4.2% | 385 | 36.2% | 25 | 2.4% | 65 | 6.1% |
| 5 | 044 | 1,871 | | 1,010 | 195 | 19.3% | 35 | 3.5% | 365 | 36.1% | 130 | 12.9% | 280 | 27.7% |
| 6 | 045 | 1,731 | | 944 | 511 | 54.1% | 92 | 9.7% | 225 | 23.8% | - | 0.0% | 120 | 12.7% |
| 6 | 046 | 1,805 | | 2,051 | 285 | 13.9% | 185 | 9.0% | 1,475 | 71.9% | - | 0.0% | 96 | 4.7% |
| 6 | 047 | 1,134 | | 645 | 125 | 19.4% | 10 | 1.6% | 315 | 48.8% | 4 | 0.6% | 190 | 29.5% |
| 6 | 048 | 1,015 | | 567 | 236 | 41.6% | 91 | 16.0% | 90 | 15.9% | - | 0.0% | 125 | 22.0% |
| 6 | 049 | 1,217 | | 894 | 306 | 34.2% | 148 | 16.6% | 290 | 32.4% | 24 | 2.7% | 137 | 15.3% |
| 6 | 050 | 1,376 | | 431 | 211 | 49.0% | 9 | 2.1% | 110 | 25.5% | 7 | 1.6% | 83 | 19.3% |
| 6 | 051 | 1,270 | | 977 | 575 | 58.9% | 51 | 5.2% | 105 | 10.7% | 10 | 1.0% | 215 | 22.0% |
| 6 | 052 | 2,358 | | 1,724 | 313 | 18.2% | 272 | 15.8% | 602 | 34.9% | 6 | 0.3% | 565 | 32.8% |
| 4 | 053 | 873 | | 426 | 105 | 24.6% | 21 | 4.9% | 50 | 11.7% | - | 0.0% | 250 | 58.7% |
| 4 | 054 | 2,693 | | 1,864 | 193 | 10.4% | 269 | 14.4% | 1,205 | 64.6% | 14 | 0.8% | 199 | 10.7% |
| 4 | 055 | 753 | | 479 | 161 | 33.6% | 59 | 12.3% | 117 | 24.4% | 71 | 14.8% | 75 | 15.7% |
| 5 | 056 | 607 | | 384 | 188 | 49.0% | 23 | 6.0% | 88 | 22.9% | 35 | 9.1% | 23 | 6.0% |
| 5 | 057 | 1,123 | | 443 | 152 | 34.3% | 49 | 11.1% | 90 | 20.3% | 20 | 4.5% | 135 | 30.5% |
| 5 | 058 | 1,173 | | 708 | 417 | 58.9% | 135 | 19.1% | 50 | 7.1% | 20 | 2.8% | 85 | 12.0% |
| 5 | 059 | 791 | | 469 | 47 | 10.0% | 44 | 9.4% | 273 | 58.2% | 9 | 1.9% | 94 | 20.0% |
| 5 | 060 | 1,337 | | 796 | 188 | 23.6% | 58 | 7.3% | 327 | 41.1% | 11 | 1.4% | 190 | 23.9% |
| 5 | 061 | 1,507 | | 1,446 | 545 | 37.7% | 150 | 10.4% | 310 | 21.4% | 45 | 3.1% | 401 | 27.7% |
| 5 | 062 | 2,185 | | 1,058 | 337 | 31.9% | 111 | 10.5% | 250 | 23.6% | 60 | 5.7% | 285 | 26.9% |

| 5 | 063 | 857 | | 291 | 80 | 27.5% | 103 | 35.4% | 55 | 18.9% | - | 0.0% | 41 | 14.1% |
|---|-----|-----|--|-----|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|-------|-----|------|-----|-------|
| 5 | 064 | 1,714 | | 297 | 96 | 32.3% | - | 0.0% | 35 | 11.8% | 25 | 8.4% | 121 | 40.7% |
| 5 | 065 | 1,125 | | 418 | 326 | 78.0% | 76 | 18.2% | 15 | 3.6% | - | 0.0% | 1 | 0.2% |
| 5 | 066 | 805 | | 456 | 342 | 75.0% | 1 | 0.2% | 14 | 3.1% | - | 0.0% | 33 | 7.2% |
| 5 | 067 | 944 | | 290 | 91 | 31.4% | 154 | 53.1% | 40 | 13.8% | 10 | 3.4% | - | 0.0% |
| 5 | 068 | 768 | | 432 | 365 | 84.5% | - | 0.0% | 11 | 2.5% | - | 0.0% | 7 | 1.6% |
| 5 | 069 | 1,088 | | 492 | 100 | 20.3% | 106 | 21.5% | 163 | 33.1% | 6 | 1.2% | 147 | 29.9% |
| 5 | 070 | 774 | | 348 | 93 | 26.7% | 53 | 15.2% | 93 | 26.7% | 8 | 2.3% | 76 | 21.8% |
| 5 | 071 | 1,111 | | 763 | 169 | 22.1% | 101 | 13.2% | 322 | 42.2% | 19 | 2.5% | 137 | 18.0% |
| 5 | 072 | 436 | | 343 | 88 | 25.7% | 34 | 9.9% | 101 | 29.4% | 7 | 2.0% | 112 | 32.7% |
| 5 | 073 | 1,507 | | 991 | 410 | 41.4% | 77 | 7.8% | 296 | 29.9% | 24 | 2.4% | 177 | 17.9% |
| 5 | 074 | 1,040 | | 687 | 358 | 52.1% | 38 | 5.5% | 139 | 20.2% | 26 | 3.8% | 108 | 15.7% |
| 2 | 075 | 965 | | 746 | 240 | 32.2% | 50 | 6.7% | 215 | 28.8% | 1 | 0.1% | 240 | 32.2% |
| 5 | 076 | 2,150 | | 702 | 400 | 57.0% | 41 | 5.8% | 95 | 13.5% | 60 | 8.5% | 75 | 10.7% |
| 5 | 077 | 2,653 | | 1,099 | 369 | 33.6% | 120 | 10.9% | 175 | 15.9% | 175 | 15.9% | 220 | 20.0% |
| 2 | 078 | 1,861 | | 936 | 335 | 35.8% | 120 | 12.8% | 376 | 40.2% | 4 | 0.4% | 95 | 10.1% |
| 2 | 079 | 1,487 | | 1,012 | 485 | 47.9% | 142 | 14.0% | 255 | 25.2% | 10 | 1.0% | 50 | 4.9% |
| 2 | 080 | 2,482 | | 1,327 | 466 | 35.1% | 126 | 9.5% | 500 | 37.7% | 35 | 2.6% | 145 | 10.9% |
| 2 | 081 | 1,862 | | 1,251 | 620 | 49.6% | 25 | 2.0% | 280 | 22.4% | 30 | 2.4% | 236 | 18.9% |
| 3 | 082 | 880 | | 337 | 219 | 65.0% | 26 | 7.7% | 57 | 16.9% | 4 | 1.2% | 39 | 11.6% |
| 3 | 083 | 2,120 | | 1,093 | 582 | 53.2% | 185 | 16.9% | 201 | 18.4% | - | 0.0% | 100 | 9.1% |
| 3 | 084 | 1,585 | | 782 | 218 | 27.9% | 163 | 20.8% | 183 | 23.4% | - | 0.0% | 207 | 26.5% |
| 3 | 085 | 1,180 | | 451 | 161 | 35.7% | 15 | 3.3% | 208 | 46.1% | 16 | 3.5% | 41 | 9.1% |
| 3 | 086 | 1,915 | | 986 | 403 | 40.9% | 228 | 23.1% | 159 | 16.1% | - | 0.0% | 165 | 16.7% |
| 3 | 087 | 1,156 | | 649 | 360 | 55.5% | 24 | 3.7% | 74 | 11.4% | 4 | 0.6% | 188 | 29.0% |
| 3 | 088 | 1,024 | | 876 | 546 | 62.3% | 60 | 6.8% | 105 | 12.0% | - | 0.0% | 165 | 18.8% |
| 3 | 089 | 3,243 | | 1,799 | 344 | 19.1% | 416 | 23.1% | 821 | 45.6% | - | 0.0% | 218 | 12.1% |
| 2 | 090 | 2,040 | | 1,312 | 574 | 43.8% | 246 | 18.8% | 354 | 27.0% | - | 0.0% | 153 | 11.7% |
| 2 | 091 | 1,655 | | 744 | 339 | 45.6% | 6 | 0.8% | 191 | 25.7% | 4 | 0.5% | 188 | 25.3% |
| 3 | 092 | 1,416 | | 899 | 415 | 46.2% | 228 | 25.4% | 135 | 15.0% | - | 0.0% | 105 | 11.7% |
| 3 | 093 | 1,469 | | 886 | 75 | 8.5% | 106 | 12.0% | 375 | 42.3% | 10 | 1.1% | 300 | 33.9% |
| 3 | 094 | 1,183 | | 468 | 261 | 55.8% | 101 | 21.6% | 36 | 7.7% | 2 | 0.4% | 83 | 17.7% |
| 3 | 095 | 1,353 | | 1,039 | 341 | 32.8% | 121 | 11.6% | 96 | 9.2% | 21 | 2.0% | 453 | 43.6% |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 3 | 096 | 689 | | 566 | 156 | 27.6% | 44 | 7.8% | 49 | 8.7% | 23 | 4.1% | 233 | 41.2% |
| 3 | 097 | 899 | | 723 | 95 | 13.1% | 162 | 22.4% | 216 | 29.9% | 24 | 3.3% | 189 | 26.1% |
| 3 | 098 | 1,363 | | 580 | 312 | 53.8% | 88 | 15.2% | 76 | 13.1% | 17 | 2.9% | 54 | 9.3% |
| 3 | 099 | 331 | | 141 | 61 | 43.3% | 23 | 16.3% | 29 | 20.6% | 1 | 0.7% | 18 | 12.8% |
| 3 | 100 | 597 | | 254 | 62 | 24.4% | 69 | 27.2% | 130 | 51.2% | 2 | 0.8% | 13 | 5.1% |
| 3 | 101 | 1,340 | | 572 | 119 | 20.8% | 236 | 41.3% | 32 | 5.6% | 37 | 6.5% | 182 | 31.8% |
| 3 | 102 | 1,070 | | 791 | 127 | 16.1% | 75 | 9.5% | 131 | 16.6% | 31 | 3.9% | 405 | 51.2% |
| 3 | 103 | 957 | | 654 | 137 | 20.9% | 426 | 65.1% | 46 | 7.0% | - | 0.0% | 100 | 15.3% |
| 3 | 104 | 917 | | 620 | 125 | 20.2% | 207 | 33.4% | 77 | 12.4% | - | 0.0% | 195 | 31.5% |
| 4 | 105 | 872 | | 588 | 194 | 33.0% | 96 | 16.3% | 68 | 11.6% | - | 0.0% | 128 | 21.8% |
| 3 | 106 | 551 | | 314 | 104 | 33.1% | 60 | 19.1% | 51 | 16.2% | - | 0.0% | 97 | 30.9% |
| 3 | 107 | 332 | | 189 | 80 | 42.3% | 20 | 10.6% | 32 | 16.9% | - | 0.0% | 40 | 21.2% |
| 4 | 108 | 776 | | 482 | 139 | 28.8% | 87 | 18.0% | 75 | 15.6% | - | 0.0% | 148 | 30.7% |
| 4 | 109 | 787 | | 510 | 65 | 12.7% | 180 | 35.3% | 45 | 8.8% | - | 0.0% | 220 | 43.1% |
| 4 | 110 | 396 | | 308 | 84 | 27.3% | 20 | 6.5% | 66 | 21.4% | 7 | 2.3% | 74 | 24.0% |
| 4 | 111 | 1,070 | | 834 | 206 | 24.7% | 65 | 7.8% | 128 | 15.3% | 7 | 0.8% | 454 | 54.4% |
| 4 | 112 | 2,461 | | 1,907 | 606 | 31.8% | 374 | 19.6% | 415 | 21.8% | - | 0.0% | 442 | 23.2% |
| 4 | 113 | 424 | | 254 | 67 | 26.4% | 58 | 22.8% | 45 | 17.7% | - | 0.0% | 105 | 41.3% |
| 4 | 114 | 1,408 | | 1,121 | 60 | 5.4% | 281 | 25.1% | 260 | 23.2% | 30 | 2.7% | 460 | 41.0% |
| 4 | 115 | 1,137 | | 849 | 232 | 27.3% | 2 | 0.2% | 55 | 6.5% | 80 | 9.4% | 480 | 56.5% |
| 4 | 116 | 1,433 | | 652 | 155 | 23.8% | 96 | 14.7% | 155 | 23.8% | 20 | 3.1% | 216 | 33.1% |
| 4 | 117 | 1,656 | | 1,166 | 557 | 47.8% | 93 | 8.0% | 75 | 6.4% | 35 | 3.0% | 361 | 31.0% |
| 4 | 118 | 1,876 | | 1,600 | 565 | 35.3% | 289 | 18.1% | 385 | 24.1% | 4 | 0.3% | 330 | 20.6% |
| 4 | 119 | 2,293 | | 1,775 | 160 | 9.0% | 381 | 21.5% | 480 | 27.0% | - | 0.0% | 750 | 42.3% |
| 4 | 120 | 1,130 | | 648 | 48 | 7.4% | 88 | 13.6% | 162 | 25.0% | - | 0.0% | 368 | 56.8% |
| 4 | 121 | 1,703 | | 1,597 | 451 | 28.2% | 365 | 22.9% | 370 | 23.2% | - | 0.0% | 356 | 22.3% |
| 4 | 122 | 2,281 | | 1,703 | 89 | 5.2% | 270 | 15.9% | 430 | 25.2% | - | 0.0% | 905 | 53.1% |
| 4 | 123 | 1,473 | | 1,092 | 20 | 1.8% | 126 | 11.5% | 621 | 56.9% | 20 | 1.8% | 241 | 22.1% |

# Hayward City Council Districting Tool - City Map



Total population from online tool

| Dist | %Latino | %White | %Black | %Asian | %MMR | |
|------|---------|--------|--------|--------|------|---------|
| 1 | 28.4 | 11.8 | 6.5 | 46.1 | 1.8 | bay |
| 2 | 48.6 | 10.8 | 8.2 | 26.3 | 1.6 | mall |
| 3 | 45.3 | 13 | 12.2 | 24.2 | 1.3 | north |
| 4 | 30.3 | 22.2 | 13.9 | 28.5 | 1.6 | hills |
| 5 | 54.8 | 8.2 | 7.5 | 22.6 | 1.6 | central |
| 6 | 39.5 | 12.9 | 6.2 | 35.9 | 1.6 | south |

Total Population is California Statewide Adjusted Data via the California Statewide Database: https://statewidedatabase.org/re
Citizen Voting Age Population is based on disaggregated block data of the DOJ Special Tabulation of the American Community
2022, via the California Statewide Database: https://statewidedatabase.org/d20/2024_cvap.html

# Subject: RE: Comment for May 28 meeting item 1

**Miriam Lens** ⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛                                       11:41AM (4 hours ago)

to Dave Robinson, Dustin Claussen, Michael Lawson, Chuck Finnie

You are viewing an attached message. Tripepi Smith & Associates Mail can't verify the authenticity of attached messages.

Good morning, Dave Robinson:

Thank you for your email.  I will coordinate with City staff to show your slides on screen while you deliver your public comment.  Your slides will be added to documents/emails received for this meeting.

We look forward to meeting you this evening.

Regards,

Miriam Lens
City Clerk

City of Hayward | 777 B Street | Hayward, CA 94541
⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛
Website:  https://www.hayward-ca.gov/

**H** HAYWARD

---

**From:** Dave Robinson ⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛⬛
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 28, 2024 7:08 AM
**To:** List-Mayor-Council <List-Mayor-Council@hayward-ca.gov>
**Subject:** Comment for May 28 meeting item 1

> **CAUTION:**This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Hello -

I intend to deliver a public comment in person at this evening's meeting regarding district maps. I prepared some slides to accompany the comment, which are attached. I was inspired by a prior commenter's use of the document camera, but my color toner cartridge is not working and I do not have time to go to Copy Pacific, so I hope this will work.  As a fallback, I can put my iPad under the document camera, but this might have too much glare. Emailing the slides also makes sure the city will have them in case I fail to appear at the meeting.

Thank you,
Dave Robinson

---

**One attachment** • Scanned by Gmail



PDF 240528item1Robi..

# Hayward City Council District Map Proposal

Dave Robinson

5/28/2024

Here is the map.

# Checklist

1. Equal population
   - About as close as possible given block sizes on spreadsheet tool.

2. Federal Voting Rights Act compliant
   - Did not use census category data.

3. Contiguity
   - No islands.

4. Respect for Communities of Interest
   - Used geographic obstacles as boundaries.
   - Community input will be helpful.

5. Compactness
   - No tentacles on internal boundaries.

# Statistics

- Some districts have about double the % vs. others in each census category.

- No majority district for any category.



| District | Population (2020 Census) | | Citizen Voting Age Population (DOJ Special Tab 2018-2022) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Population | Dev % | Total | Latino | % Latino | NL Black | % NL Black | NL Asian | % NL Asian | NL HPI | % NL HPI | NL White | % NL White | |
| City | 163,172 | | 102,820 | 32,423 | 31.5% | 12,608 | 12.3% | 31,868 | 31.0% | 2,365 | 2.3% | 21,470 | 20.9% | |
| Unassigned | - | | - | - | 0.0% | - | 0.0% | - | 0.0% | - | 0.0% | - | 0.0% | |
| 1 | 27,139 | -0.21% | 19,254 | 5,627 | 29.2% | 1,751 | 9.1% | 8,575 | 44.5% | 299 | 1.6% | 2,573 | 13.4% | bay |
| 2 | 26,582 | -2.26% | 15,239 | 5,809 | 38.1% | 1,495 | 9.8% | 4,542 | 29.8% | 299 | 2.0% | 2,740 | 18.0% | mall |
| 3 | 27,570 | 1.38% | 15,669 | 5,303 | 33.8% | 3,083 | 19.7% | 3,319 | 21.2% | 192 | 1.2% | 3,590 | 22.9% | north |
| 4 | 27,495 | 1.10% | 19,855 | 4,157 | 20.9% | 3,220 | 16.2% | 5,207 | 26.2% | 288 | 1.5% | 6,562 | 33.0% | hills |
| 5 | 27,566 | 1.36% | 13,923 | 5,356 | 38.5% | 1,509 | 10.8% | 3,307 | 23.8% | 690 | 5.0% | 2,748 | 19.7% | central |
| 6 | 26,820 | -1.38% | 18,880 | 6,171 | 32.7% | 1,550 | 8.2% | 6,918 | 36.6% | 597 | 3.2% | 3,257 | 17.3% | south |
| Ideal Pop: | 27,195.33 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Lowest District Dev %: | -2.3% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Highest District Dev %: | 1.4% | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Plan Range | 3.63% | <~~~ A plan range up to 10% is considered legally allowable. | | | | | | | | | | | | |

# Context: Other current maps presumably meet criteria.



Transit, water, sewer, park, and other districts already divide the city.

Maps from https://www.acgov.org/rov_app/edata



Professionally drawn maps in Fremont and Union City have more irregular boundaries.

# Are we done?

- Drawing equal-sized, compact, contiguous, FVRA-compliant districts is easy.

- Communities of Interest are rarely compact, contiguous, and non-overlapping.

- Figuring this out is the hard part.

- Maybe the end result will still look like this!

# FW: Your District Plan has been submitted!

1 message

**Districting** <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>                                      Tue, May 28, 2024 at 10:31 AM
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

_____
From: No-Reply <no-reply@publicredistricting.com>
Sent: Tuesday, May 28, 2024 10:30:19 AM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
To: ▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨ Districting
Subject: Your District Plan has been submitted!

CAUTION:This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Thank you for submitting the map and description of your district plan. You can stay up to date on the districting process by checking the city's website maphayward.org:

A copy of your District submission is attached in these three formats: a PDF, a shapefile, and an equivalency file.

The shapefile and equivalency file can be used in mapping software. The PDF is an easy way to PRINT (show others) what you sent to the City Council, or share it ELECTRONICALLY or on social media to encourage friends and family to participate in this important process.

Thank you again for your participation!

---

**14 attachments**

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445_82.pdf**
29K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445_80.pdf**
31K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445.prj**
1K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445.shp**
55K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445.pdf**
19K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445_83.pdf**
29K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445.txt**
3K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445.shx**
1K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445_78.pdf**
30K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445.csv**
48K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445.dbf**
36K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445_92.pdf**
35K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445_79.pdf**
31K

**MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445.cst**
1K

Submission ID: MyCityCouncil_SD_0528202445_District Proposal - Yest, R

Plan name: District Proposal - Yest, R

District type: City Council District

Starting Map: blank_map

General comments: I have constructed the districts to meet the requirements of population balance, contiguity, and reasonably compactedness. Communities of interest—as illustrated in the provided spreadsheet and my own understanding of the city I live in—help shaped the neighborhoods that I wanted to keep intact. But, with our population being diverse across the city, there are no large communities of interest that will nicely fit into one district. For example, the AAPI community has a large population percentage in the northwest and southeast regions. It would have been impossible to construct one district and still maintain the requirements, such as reasonable compactedness or contiguity. My goal was to ensure that I maintained the neighborhoods within the communities of interest. Once identified, I used geographic features, such as roads, rail, water, etc, to provide a natural social boundaries.

Submitted by: Robert Yest PhD

Compliance check:

- Contiguity: N

- Total population % deviation: N

- Assignment: N

I acknowledge that my District map and description will be sent to the City Council: Y

District name : Chabot

% Deviation : 3.88

Comments : I built this to respect the community of interest within the Latino community using 880 and 92 as physical boundaries. I had to extend eastward to include more to meet the population requirement.

District name : Industrial - Huntwood

% Deviation : 3.7

Comments : I chose this area to be respectful of the community of interest within the AAPI community. Also I used major geographical boundaries to help shape the region.

District name : Jackson - Downtown

% Deviation : 2.28

Comments : I chose this area to be respectful of the community of interest within the Latino community. The downtown area was included to meet the population requirement.

District name : Tennyson - Harder

% Deviation : -1.92

Comments : I chose this area to be respectful of the community of interest within the Latino community.
Also I used major geographical boundaries (roads) to help shape the region.

District name : CSU - Hayward Hills

% Deviation : -3.18

Comments : Due to the contiguity requirement, compactness, and the shape of the city's boundaries in the northeast, most of this district had to be this way. I used Foothill and Mission blvds as my boundary.

District name : Glen Eden

% Deviation : -4.74

Comments : I built this to respect the community of interest within the AAPI community using 880 and 92 as physical boundaries. I had to extend eastward to include more to meet the population requirement.

## FW: Hayward District Formation - New submission from Contact Us
1 message

**Districting** <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>                    Tue, May 28, 2024 at 1:54 PM
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

> **CAUTION:**This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

**From:**
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 28, 2024 1:54:12 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
**To:**
Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
**Subject:** Hayward District Formation - New submission from Contact Us

> **CAUTION:**This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

**Name**

Dwight Turner

**Email**

**Message**

The password maybe the id also are incorrect for tonight's meeting.

## FW: COI for districting

1 message

**Districting** <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>                                Tue, May 28, 2024 at 1:56 PM
To: HaywardDistricting <HaywardDistricts@hayward-ca.gov>

> **CAUTION:** This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

---

**From:** dwight turner ▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 28, 2024 1:56:09 PM (UTC-08:00) Pacific Time (US & Canada)
**To:** Districting <Districting@hayward-ca.gov>
**Cc:** Dwight Turner ▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨▨
**Subject:** COI for districting

> **CAUTION:** This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

# Districting Process

## Community of Interest

## My Community

1. Describe your community

- **Community Name:**
- **Hayward Hills**
- 
- **Description**

**Unique Shared Concerns:**

**Topographically** this is some of the highest elevations in the city. So the weather is different here than the flat lands. This area occasionally experiences snow, hail, thick fog, high winds and freezing rain. Therefore road and driveway grades should consider these possible conditions.

**Road egress** is largely dependent on Hayward Boulevard and Fairview Road. Therefore the area is very sensitive to increase traffic during normal conditions and residents have expresses serious concern in emergency situations that could close access to either/both road or the road becomes clogged with excess traffic.

**Wildland interface** areas share a boundary with much of this area. Therefore wildfires, erosion and flooding are all situations of high concern. Some residents have reported insurance coverage issues due to these conditions.

**Common Shared Concerns:**

**Maintaining Home Values** is a concern since many of the homes were built less than 30 years ago. Therefore anything that might disrupt the appreciation trend would be viewed as adverse.

**Security** is a big concern. Since these communities are remote to rest of the city it appears that they get less patrols and poor response.

**Maintain Diversity**. It appears these areas are very diverse and peaceful and we want to continue to maintain this.

- **Boundary of Community of Interest**

At a minimum it should include
Prominence
Stone Brae
Bailey Ranch
Possible other communities
Other communities along the Hayward Boulevard needed to meet the size requirement for the district.

## Fwd: FW: Asian American / Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander Heritage Month: Data for Hayward

1 message

**From:** Charles Purvis
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 28, 2024 11:44 AM
**To:** List-Mayor-Council <List-Mayor-Council@hayward-ca.gov>
**Subject:** Asian American / Native Hawaiian / Pacific Islander Heritage Month: Data for Hayward

**CAUTION:** This is an external email. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you know the content is safe.

Mayor Salinas and City Council:

Attached is a summary of data on Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander (NHPI) population based on data from the 2020 Census.

Table 1 shows total population for the seven largest NHPI groups in the United States, and summarized for the nation, California statewide, the San Francisco Bay Area, Alameda County, and the City of Hayward.

The largest seven NHPI groups are Native Hawaiian (680 thousand); Samoan (257 thousand); Chamorro (Northern Marianas, 144 thousand); Tongan (79 thousand); Fijian (54 thousand); Marshallese (53 thousand); and Guamanian (24 thousand).

Table 2 shows the population shares for each of the NHPI groups. The last row of Table 2 shows the ratio of the Hayward population share to the United State population share. This shows that the Hayward population share of Fijian-Americans (2.21 percent) is 136 times larger than the US population share (0.02%). Notably, the Hayward share of all NHPI groups is higher than the US average, except for Marshall Islanders.

These data are for each of the racial groups "alone, or in combination with other races" such that a person who reported Hawaiian and Samoan race would be included in both categories.

Table 3 shows the top 25 places in the United States ranked by the number of Fijian-Americans. Hayward has the second largest concentration of Fijians, after Sacramento.

Table 4 shows the top 25 places in the United Stated ranked by the number of Tongan-Americans. Hayward has the tenth largest concentration of Tongans in the USA.

I hope that this is of interest, and I want to thank you for your service to Hayward!

Chuck Purvis
Hayward, CA

---

nhopi_summary_1.pdf
36K

**Table 1**
**Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander Total Population: 2020: USA, California, Bay Area, Alameda County, City of Hayward Census 2020, File DDHCA**

| name | Total Population | Native Hawaiian | Samoan | Chamorro | Tongan | Fijian | Guamanian | Marshallese |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| United States | 331,449,281 | 680,390 | 257,010 | 143,922 | 78,853 | 54,037 | 24,304 | 52,640 |
| California | 39,538,223 | 95,165 | 68,747 | 40,015 | 26,899 | 38,170 | 7,675 | 2,865 |
| San Francisco Bay Area | 7,765,640 | 23,635 | 16,632 | 9,786 | 13,556 | 14,614 | 1,771 | 137 |
| Alameda County, California | 1,682,353 | 5,688 | 3,897 | 2,249 | 3,976 | 6,067 | 368 | 54 |
| Hayward city, California | 162,954 | 759 | 918 | 257 | 1,054 | 3,605 | 60 | NA |

**Table 2**
**Share of Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander Total Population: 2020: USA, California, Bay Area, Alameda County, City of Hayward Census 2020, File DDHCA**

| name | Total Population | Native Hawaiian | Samoan | Chamorro | Tongan | Fijian | Guamanian | Marshallese |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| United States | 100.00% | 0.21% | 0.08% | 0.04% | 0.02% | 0.02% | 0.01% | 0.02% |
| California | 100.00% | 0.24% | 0.17% | 0.10% | 0.07% | 0.10% | 0.02% | 0.01% |
| San Francisco Bay Area | 100.00% | 0.30% | 0.21% | 0.13% | 0.17% | 0.19% | 0.02% | 0.00% |
| Alameda County, California | 100.00% | 0.34% | 0.23% | 0.13% | 0.24% | 0.36% | 0.02% | 0.00% |
| Hayward city, California | 100.00% | 0.47% | 0.56% | 0.16% | 0.65% | 2.21% | 0.04% | N/A |
| | | | | | | | | |
| **Hayward-to-USA-Ratio of Shares** | | **2.3** | **7.3** | **3.6** | **27.2** | **135.7** | **5.0** | **N/A** |

**Table 3**

**Fijian-American Population: Top 25 Cities with Largest Fijian Population: 2020**

**Census 2020, File DDHCA**

| Rank | City | Total Population | Fijian | Fijian Share |
|---:|---|---:|---:|---:|
| 1 | Sacramento city, California | 524,943 | 5,483 | 1.04% |
| **2** | **Hayward city, California** | **162,954** | **3,605** | **2.21%** |
| 3 | Elk Grove city, California | 176,124 | 2,242 | 1.27% |
| 4 | Modesto city, California | 218,464 | 1,880 | 0.86% |
| 5 | Stockton city, California | 320,804 | 1,210 | 0.38% |
| 6 | Florin CDP, California | 52,388 | 837 | 1.60% |
| 7 | San Jose city, California | 1,013,240 | 768 | 0.08% |
| 8 | Vineyard CDP, California | 43,935 | 703 | 1.60% |
| 9 | Santa Rosa city, California | 178,127 | 699 | 0.39% |
| 10 | Los Angeles city, California | 3,898,747 | 667 | 0.02% |
| 11 | West Sacramento city, California | 53,915 | 589 | 1.09% |
| 12 | San Bruno city, California | 43,908 | 554 | 1.26% |
| 13 | South San Francisco city, California | 66,105 | 527 | 0.80% |
| 14 | Portland city, Oregon | 652,503 | 470 | 0.07% |
| 15 | Rancho Cordova city, California | 79,332 | 467 | 0.59% |
| 16 | Kent city, Washington | 136,588 | 452 | 0.33% |
| 17 | San Mateo city, California | 105,661 | 445 | 0.42% |
| 18 | Fremont city, California | 230,504 | 444 | 0.19% |
| 19 | Tracy city, California | 93,000 | 433 | 0.47% |
| 20 | Newark city, California | 47,529 | 421 | 0.89% |
| 21 | Union City city, California | 70,143 | 406 | 0.58% |
| 22 | San Francisco city, California | 873,965 | 390 | 0.04% |
| 23 | Vallejo city, California | 126,090 | 344 | 0.27% |
| 24 | Arden-Arcade CDP, California | 94,659 | 336 | 0.35% |
| 25 | Pittsburg city, California | 76,416 | 334 | 0.44% |

**Table 4**
**Tongan-American Population: Top 25 Cities with Largest Tongan Population: 2020**
**Census 2020, File DDHCA**

| Rank | City | Total Population | Tongan | Tongan Share |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | West Valley City city, Utah | 140,230 | 3,343 | 2.38% |
| 2 | Salt Lake City city, Utah | 199,723 | 3,163 | 1.58% |
| 3 | Urban Honolulu CDP, Hawaii | 350,964 | 1,990 | 0.57% |
| 4 | East Palo Alto city, California | 30,034 | 1,671 | 5.56% |
| 5 | Oakland city, California | 440,646 | 1,373 | 0.31% |
| 6 | Sacramento city, California | 524,943 | 1,298 | 0.25% |
| 7 | West Jordan city, Utah | 116,961 | 1,274 | 1.09% |
| 8 | San Mateo city, California | 105,661 | 1,111 | 1.05% |
| 9 | Euless city, Texas | 61,032 | 1,074 | 1.76% |
| **10** | **Hayward city, California** | **162,954** | **1,054** | **0.65%** |
| 11 | Provo city, Utah | 115,162 | 1,019 | 0.88% |
| 12 | Anchorage municipality, Alaska | 291,247 | 865 | 0.30% |
| 13 | San Jose city, California | 1,013,240 | 851 | 0.08% |
| 14 | Mesa city, Arizona | 504,258 | 829 | 0.16% |
| 15 | Orem city, Utah | 98,129 | 796 | 0.81% |
| 16 | Taylorsville city, Utah | 60,448 | 765 | 1.27% |
| 17 | Laie CDP, Hawaii | 5,963 | 684 | 11.47% |
| 18 | Los Angeles city, California | 3,898,747 | 675 | 0.02% |
| 19 | Antioch city, California | 115,291 | 619 | 0.54% |
| 20 | Kearns metro township, Utah | 36,723 | 576 | 1.57% |
| 21 | South Jordan city, Utah | 77,487 | 568 | 0.73% |
| 22 | Portland city, Oregon | 652,503 | 567 | 0.09% |
| 23 | San Bruno city, California | 43,908 | 559 | 1.27% |
| 24 | Reno city, Nevada | 264,165 | 542 | 0.21% |
| 25 | Phoenix city, Arizona | 1,608,139 | 517 | 0.03% |